



:::: DISCLAIMER :::

The following document was uploaded by [ballotpedia.org](http://ballotpedia.org) staff with the written permission of the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research for non-commercial use only. It is not intended for redistribution.

For information on rights and usage of this file, please contact:

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

P.O. Box 1248

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

[www.icpsr.umich.edu](http://www.icpsr.umich.edu)

For general information on rights and usage of

Ballotpedia content, please contact:

[editor@ballotpedia.org](mailto:editor@ballotpedia.org)



**ICPSR**  
**Inter-university Consortium for**  
**Political and Social Research**

---

## Referenda and Primary Election Materials

---

### Part 47: Referenda Elections for Oregon

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

ICPSR 0006

This document was previously available in paper format only. It was converted to Portable Document Format (PDF), with no manual editing, on the date below as part of ICPSR's electronic document conversion project. The document may not be completely searchable. No additional updating of this collection has been performed (pagination, missing pages, etc.).

June 2002



---

## Referenda and Primary Election Materials

---

### Part 47: Referenda Elections for Oregon

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research

ICPSR 0006



REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS  
(ICPSR 0006)

Principal Investigator  
Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106



## BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS [Computer file]. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor], 1994.

## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

## DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.





## DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research  
REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS (ICPSR 0006)

**COLLECTION CHANGES:** Data for 1990 have been added to this collection, and SAS data definition statements (Parts 51-100) are now available for Parts 1-50.

**NOTE:** Most of the information in this collection is available ONLY in typed and photocopied form. The exception is data from 1968 to 1990. ICPSR has converted all statewide referenda returns from 1968-1990 into machine-readable form. Included in this portion of the collection are the county vote breakdowns for approximately 4,241 referenda voted upon in primary and general elections throughout the United States. A typed hardcopy codebook accompanies each state file. The primary election data are NOT available in machine-readable form. It is possible to supply, on a cost basis, limited portions of the referenda and primary election returns in the form of photocopies.

**EXTENT OF COLLECTION:** 50 data files + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements

**EXTENT OF PROCESSING:** CONCHK.ICPSR/ UNDOCCHK.ICPSR/ MDATA.ICPSR

**DATA FORMAT:** Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements

Parts 1-50: Referenda Elections  
for States .

File Structure: rectangular

Cases: 3 to 254 per part

Variables: 13 to 1,251 per part

Record Length: 85 to 7,919

per part

Records Per Case: 1

Parts 51-100: SAS Data  
Definition Statements  
Record Length: 80



REFERENDA

Var. #

Description

1  
2  
3

ICPSR State Code 72

County or State Name

Identification Number

Unique numeric identification number assigned to each county or independent city within a state. The identification number for state-level records is 0000. This identification number, when used in conjunction with the ICPSR state code, uniquely identifies each unit of analysis in the data file.

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 651,250; No = 96,065

1. Constitutional Amendment Broadening Veterans

Loan Eligibility - Purpose: Article XI-A amended  
authorizing farm and home loans to Oregon Veterans  
with 210 days of active duty service, part of which  
service occurred after January 31, 1955, or dis-

4

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

5

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 690,989; No = 56,973

2. Constitutional Amendment For Removal of Judges -

Purpose: Empowers legislature to provide procedure  
for Supreme Court to remove judge of any court from  
office for: (a) Conviction in any state or federal  
court of a crime punishable as felony or which in-

6

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

7

Vote No (Against)

1. (continued) - charged for disability. Application to be made within 20 years after leaving service. World War II cutoff data changed from December 31, 1946 to July 25, 1947. World War II and Korean veterans qualify where part of 90 day service occur within war dates.

Estimate of Financial Effects: An estimated 60,000 additional Veterans would be made eligible for Oregon Veterans' farm and home loans upon passage of this amendment, and an approximate 9,000 each year thereafter. To meet the loan needs of this new group, additional bonds would have to be sold in the amount of \$3,500,000 prior to June 30, 1969, and \$10,000,000 for the following two-year period. This money, plus interest, would be repaid by the Veteran borrowers, so there would be no cost to the State or the taxpayers.

2. (continued) -

volves moral turpitude; of

(b) Wilful misconduct in a judicial office, involving moral turpitude; or

(c) Wilful or persistent failure to perform judicial duties; or

(d) Habitual drunkenness or illegal use of narcotic drugs.

Amendment's removal procedure and Article II, Section 18, recall provision, made exclusive.

Source: Official Abstract of Votes, General Election 1968, State of Oregon, Compiled and published by Clay Myers, Secretary of State

State Oregon

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 588,166; No = 143,768

3. Empowering Legislature To Extend Ocean Boundaries -

Purpose: Amends Article XVI, Oregon Constitution.

Permits legislature to extend state's seaward bound-  
daries or jurisdiction under authority of laws

heretofore or hereafter enacted by Congress of United  
States.

8

Vote Yes (For)

9

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 331,617; No = 348,866

4. Constitutional Amendment Broadening County Debt

Limitation - Purpose: Broadens present county con-

stitutional debt limitation so as to authorize agree-

ments to purchase or lease real or personal property

for a period not to exceed 10 years. Agreements

10

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

11

Vote No (Against)

4. (continued) - entered into by a county must be made pursuant to law and for a public purpose.

Source: Official Abstract of Votes, General Election 1968, State of Oregon, Compiled and published by Clay Myers, Secretary of State

State Oregon

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 393,789; No = 278,483

No. 5: Government Consolidation City-County Over  
300,000 - Purpose: Amends Section 2a, Article XI of  
the Oregon Constituion. This amendment would provide  
for the consolidation of city-county governments in  
counties having a city with more than 300,000

12 Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

13 Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 315,175; No = 464,140

No. 6: Bond Issue To Acquire Ocean Beaches - Pur-  
pose: Constitutional amendment confirming existing  
public rights to ocean beaches and accesses. Autho-  
rizes state acquisition of privately-owned beaches  
bordering Pacific Ocean from extreme low tide to

14 Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

15 Vote No (Against)



No. 5: (continued) - inhabitants. The Legislative Assembly is to provide by law the manner of consolidating the government so that it may function under one set of officers. Incorporation to be made under general laws providing for municipalities. Noninconsistent provisions of constitution are still applicable to cities and counties.

No. 6: (continued) - natural vegetation line, and accesses. Authorizes at any one time not to exceed \$30,000,000 state general obligation bonds for acquisition. Prohibits construction of highways on beaches and ocean sand spits. Imposes for four years one cent per gallon tax on fuel for private passenger motor vehicles to retire bonds.

Estimate Of Financial Effects: The proposed amendment authorizes the issuance of general obligation bonds by the Legislature in amounts up to \$30 million at any one time, plus the interest payable on such indebtedness. Such bonds, if retired, could be reissued for the purposes of the amendment. The amendment further provides that a 1c per gallon increased passenger vehicle fuel tax be levied for four years with the proceeds dedicated to retirement of the bonds and interest. State revenues from this source are estimated to be \$41.5 million for the four year period. Additional revenues to the State would accrue from the interest earned on these funds between the time of their collection and the time of their application in payment of principal and interest on the bonds.

Source: Official Abstract of Votes, General Election, 1968, State of Oregon, Compiled and published by Clay Myers, Secretary of State

State Oregon

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 276,451; No = 503,443

No. 7: Constitutional Amendment Changing Property

Tax Limitation - Purpose: Repeals 6% limitation.

Limits property taxes to 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>7</sub>% market value.

Exempts: (1) Existing bonded indebtedness;

(2) levies approved in November by majority equaling  
20% of registered voters.

16

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

17

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election, May 28, 1968

Yes = 372,915; No = 226,191

No. 1: Common School Fund Constitutional Amendment -

Purpose: Amends Constitution authorizing State

Land Board to expend moneys in the Common School

Fund in carrying out its powers and duties to the

end that the greatest benefit shall be obtained

18

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

19

Vote No (Against)

No. 7: (continued) -

Estimate of Financial Effects: If the voters approve this proposed constitutional amendment, the State Tax Commission estimates that property taxes levied locally by counties, cities, school districts and special districts such as rural fire protection districts would be reduced by about \$150 million in the First year of its effect.

The State would then automatically collect at least \$6,500,000 more after the first year from personal income taxes, corporation excise taxes and other taxes. This gain in State revenues would result primarily from: (1) smaller deductions for property taxes on itemized income tax returns of homeowners; and (2) smaller property tax deductions on business and commercial property owners' income tax returns.

The administrative costs to carry out this proposed amendment cannot be determined until enabling legislation is enacted.

Oregon law limits this statement to the effect of this proposed amendment on State government finance.

No. 1: (continued) - (Primary Election) - from the conservation and management of the land resource. The board to invest unexpended moneys in the fund as the Legislative Assembly shall provide by law. Interest from the investments applied in support of primary and secondary education as provided in the Constitution.

Source I: Official Abstract of Votes, General Election, 1968, State of Oregon, Compiled and published by Clay Myers, Secretary of State

Source II: Official Abstract of Votes, Primary Election, 1968, Compiled and published by Clay Myers, Secretary of State

State Oregon

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election, May 28, 1968

Yes = 321,731; No = 244,750

No. 2: Constitutional Amendment Changing Initiative-  
Referendum Requirements - Purpose: Amendment changes  
basis for determining number of signatures for initia-  
tive and referendum petitions from total votes cast  
for Supreme Court Justice to total votes cast for

20

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

21

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election, May 28, 1968

Yes = 353,383; No = 261,014

No. 3: Higher Education and Community College Bonds -  
Purpose: Proposes amendment to Article XI-G, Oregon  
Constitution, Establishes new bonding limitation  
for higher education and community college educational  
and general purpose of one percent of true cash value

22

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

23

Vote No (Against)

No. 2: (continued) - governor at preceding election at which governor was elected for four year term. New petition signature requirements: Initiative for constitutional amendment: 8% of votes cast (presently 10%)

Initiative for law" 6% of votes cast (presently 8%)

Referendum: 4% of votes cast (presently 5%)

Additional 15-day period for verifying signatures.

No. 3: (continued) - of taxable property in state. Restricts bond issues in any biennium to amount of matching legislative appropriation. Authorizes financing of higher education building programs from combined sources. Prohibits using Article XI-G bond proceeds for wholly self-liquidating and self-sustaining programs.

Estimate of Financial Effects: This amendment to Article XI-G would increase the bonding limit for Higher Education and Community College educational and general purpose building programs from \$30 million to approximately \$113 million. Total bonding limit would vary in the future as the true cash value of taxable property in the state changes.

If all the proposed new bonding capacity were used, the average annual principal and interest payments would increase by approximately \$5 million. The actual payments would be limited by the amount of bonds authorized by the legislature. The 1967 Special Legislative Session approved issuing \$9.35 million in bonds out of the increased bonding limit to be established by this measure. Average principal and interest payments in the next thirty years to retire these bonds will be approximately \$560,000 per year.

Source: Official Abstract of Votes, Primary Election, 1968, Compiled and published by Clay Myers, Secretary of State

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaBonding IssuePrimary Election, August 1, 1970Yes =190,257; No = 300,126

State Measure No. 1. Constitutional Amendment authorizing  
issuance of general obligation bonds not to exceed at any  
one time one-fourth of 1% of true cash value of all taxable  
property. The bond revenues are to finance the cost of  
building and other state government projects, and to be  
repaid from gifts, rentals, parking and other building  
fees. The legislature, however, may impost a property tax  
if such gifts, rentals and fees are insufficient to pay  
indebtedness.

24

Vote Yes (For)

25

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaReferendaPrimary Election, August 1, 1970Yes = 326,374; No = 168,464

State Measure 2. Repeals section 31 of Article 1 of  
Oregon Constitution which discriminates against non-white  
foreigners and purposts to give the State of Oregon  
authority to regulate immigration. The purpose of this  
measure is to eliminate from the Oregon Constitution a  
provision which is invalid because it conflicts with  
the U. S. Constitution.

26

Vote Yes (For)

27

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaReferendaPrimary Election, August 1, 1970Yes = 182,074; No = 322,682

State Measure 3. Provides for a new and revised  
Oregon Constitution. Deletes obsolete provisions and  
makes changes including but not limited to: increases  
membership of both houses of Legislature by 5;  
expands right to counsel for indigents; exempts from  
6% limitation first annual levy of a newly created  
taxing unit; prohibits state from selling or giving  
away state owned ocean shore.

28

Vote Yes (For)

29

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaBonding IssuePrimary Election, August 1, 1970Yes = 292,234; No = 312,835

State Measure 4. Authorizes bonds up to 1% of true cash  
value of taxable property in state to provide funds to  
municipal corporations, cities, counties and agencies of  
state, or combinations thereof, to construct facilities  
for control of pollution on land, in air and water of  
state, such facilities to be at least 70% self-supporting  
and self-liquidating from revenues, gifts, federal grants,  
user charges, assessments and fees. Supersedes conflicting  
charter requirements.

30

Vote Yes (For)

31

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaReferendaPrimary Election, August 1, 1970Yes = 202,018; No = 336,527State Measure 5. Amends Oregon Constitution to lower  
the legal voting age from 21 to 19 years of age.

32

Vote Yes (For)

33

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaReferendaPrimary Election, August 1, 1970Yes = 180,602; No - 323,189State Measure 6. Amends Oregon Constitution by providing  
for an exception to 6% limitation on property taxes.Authorizes legislature to increase tax bases for taxing  
units (such as a county), but requires that the proceeds  
from the higher tax must be used to reduce tax levies made  
by school districts. The purpose of this measure is to  
provide the legislature more flexibility in equalizing  
school property tax burdens within a county or other

34

taxing units, Vote Yes (For)

35

Vote No (Against)



State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 261,428; No = 340,104

State Measure 1. Oregon Constitution provides that  
Legislature shall meet one every two years, or upon  
direction of Governor. This Constitutional Amendment  
would also permit the Legislature to convene itself  
upon concurrence of a majority of members of both  
Houses.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 342,138; No = 369,467

State Measure 2. To simplify preparation of income tax  
returns, the 1969 Oregon Legislature passed a law which  
provides that the Oregon income tax will be computed  
by a method closely corresponding to the federal income  
tax. This Constitutional Amendment provides that when  
U.S. Congress changes method of computation, the changes  
are automatically adopted into Oregon law. The Oregon  
Legislature, however, must review such changes when it  
meets in regular session and may modify or reject them.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 283,659; No = 294,186

State Measure 3. The Oregon Constitution prohibits  
counties from incurring an indebtedness in excess of \$5,000.  
This Constitutional Amendment exempts from the debt  
limitation: (1) Contracts for services with state government;  
and (2) Contracts to purchase or lease property if the  
term of the agreements do not exceed 10 years and the total  
payments in all such contracts is not more than \$50,000  
annually.

40

Vote Yes (For)

41

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaReferendumGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 332,188; No = 368,588

State Measure 4. Constitutional Amendment permitting  
state to invest in stock of any company, association or  
corporation any funds that are donated or bequeathed  
for higher education purposes.

42

Vote Yes (For)

43

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaReferendaGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 481,031; No = 133,564

State Measure 5. Amends Oregon Constitution to increase  
bonding limits for the Oregon War Veterans' Funds from 3%  
to 4% of the true cash value of all property in the State.  
This fund is financed by bonds issued by the state, the  
proceeds of which are loaned to eligible veterans for  
farm and home purchases.

44

Vote Yes (For)

45

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaReferendaGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 436,897; No = 158,409

State Measure 6. Constitutional Amendment provides that  
an incumbent who seeks re-election and is defeated  
cannot hold over in office beyond his elected term.  
It further provides for appointment of temporary  
successor if an election contest is pending in courts,  
and no one has otherwise qualified for office.

46

Vote Yes (For)

47

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 269,372; No = 318,651

State Measure 7. Authorized bonds up to 1% of true cash value of taxable property in state to provide funds to purchase bonds of common or union high school districts or area education districts issued by the district for purposes authorized by law. Authorizes state-wide property tax to provide for payment of bonds if legislature does not provide other revenues. Supersedes conflicting constitutional requirements.

48

Vote Yes (For)

49

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaReferendaGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 352,771; No = 260,100

State Measure 8. The Oregon Constitution prohibits establishing state prisons or other correctional institutions outside of Marion County unless approved by the voters. This measure permits the State Corrections Division to establish and operate branch institutions such as halfway houses or similar facilities at suitable locations anywhere in the state.

50

Vote Yes (For)

51

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaReferendaGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 406,315; No = 214,243

State Measure 9. To preserve natural beauty of certain rivers. Designates as "scenic waterways" portions of Rogue, Illinois, Deschutes, Owyhee, John Day Rivers and all Minam River. Prohibits dams and reservoirs on these rivers. State Highway Commission given condemnation and rule-making powers. Landowners within a quarter mile of such rivers must give Commission one year advance notice before mining, cutting trees, or constructing any roads, buildings or other structures. Governor may designate additional "scenic waterways."

52

Vote Yes (For)

53

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaReferendaGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 223,735; No = 405,437

State Measure 10. Constitutional Amendment setting new tax bases for schools based on current expenditures plus 6% annual increase. Restricts authority to levy outside tax base. Presently, many school districts' tax bases are far below current expenditures, thus requiring annual budget elections. Provides that legislature may increase tax bases for increased student enrollment and also reduce tax bases. Otherwise, tax bases cannot be changed without popular vote. Only two elections per year permitted unless legislature provides otherwise.

54

Vote Yes (For)

55

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 Referenda

Referenda

General Election, November 3, 1970

Yes = 272,765; No = 342,503

State Measure 11. Constitutional Amendment restricts power of legislature, counties and other governmental units to pass future zoning, subdivision or building code legislation affecting land outside of city limits. Requires all such legislation to be approved by voters of area affected at a special or general election. Further provides that people who live outside city limits shall have initiative and referendum powers over any zoning, subdivision or building code legislation which affects their area.

56

Vote Yes (For)

57

Vote No (Against)

Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 594,080; No = 232,948Measure No. 1:

**1. ELIMINATES LOCATION REQUIREMENTS FOR STATE INSTITUTIONS — Purpose:** This measure repeals Section 3 of Article XIV of the Oregon Constitution which provides that no public institution of the state (except those established before November 4, 1958) may be located outside Marion County unless so ordered by an Act of the Legislature which is ratified by vote of the people at the following general election.

58

Vote Yes (For)

59

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 572,619; No = 281,720Measure No. 2:

**2. QUALIFICATIONS FOR SHERIFF SET BY LEGISLATURE — Purpose:** Amends Section 8, Article VI, Oregon Constitution to permit the qualifications of the county sheriff to be established by the legislature. Under present law there are no professional qualifications required for the office of county sheriff. Also deletes obsolete references to the offices and duties of precinct and township officers.

60

Vote Yes (For)

61

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 329,669; No = 462,932Measure No. 3:**3. AMENDS COUNTY PURCHASE AND LEASE LIMITA-**

**TIONS — Purpose:** Amends constitutional limit on indebtedness of counties to permit counties to enter into purchase or lease agreements up to ten years if the amount payable annually on all such agreements does not exceed 1/100 of 1 per cent of the taxable value of all property in county or \$5,000, whichever is greater; also permits long-term service agreements with the state.

62

Vote Yes (For)

63

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 336,382; No = 519,196Measure No. 4:**4. CHANGES STATE CONSTITUTION PROVISION REGARD-**

**ING RELIGION — Purpose:** Amends Oregon Constitution to provide as follows: "The Legislative Assembly shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof." Repeals existing constitution provision which reads: "No money shall be drawn from the Treasury for the benefit of any religious (sic) or theological institution, nor shall any money be appropriated for the payment of any religious (sic) services in either house of the Legislative Assembly."

64

Vote Yes (For)

65

Vote No (Against)



State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 591,191; No = 265,636Measure No. 5:**5. MINIMUM JURY SIZE OF SIX MEMBERS — Purpose:**

— This measure adds the following language to Article VII  
 — (Amended), Oregon Constitution: "Provision may be made  
 — by law for juries consisting of less than 12 but not less  
 — than six jurors."

66

Vote Yes (For)

67

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 736,802; No = 133,139Measure No. 6:**6. BROADENS ELIGIBILITY FOR VETERANS' LOANS —**

— **Purpose:** Amends Oregon Constitution to liberalize  
 — eligibility requirements for veterans' loans for certain  
 — Oregon residents who served in the armed forces between  
 — 1940-47 and 1950-60. Also provides for eligibility of  
 — certain spouses whose husbands were killed, missing in  
 — action or prisoners of war.

68

Vote Yes (For)

69

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 571,959; No = 292,561Measure No. 7;**7. REPEALS GOVERNORS' RETIREMENT ACT — Purpose:**

- Measure would repeal 1971 legislative act establishing
- retirement fund for the office of governor. Any person
- who served as governor for two years upon retirement
- from public employment, Oregon or federal, is entitled
- to pension equal to 45 per cent of his salary. However,
- benefits are reduced by amounts received from other
- public retirement programs. Retirement fund is financed
- by legislative appropriations, donations, and contributions
- from governor's salary in the amount of 7 per cent.

70

Vote Yes (For)

71

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaState MeasureGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 697,297; No = 151,174Measure No. 8:**8. CHANGES SUCCESSION TO OFFICE OF GOVERNOR -**

- **Purpose:** This measure amends the Oregon Constitution
- to change line of succession when the Office of Governor
- becomes vacant, and also eliminates provision that the
- Governor temporarily vacates his office when outside
- Oregon. The new line of succession would be: (1) Secretary
- of State; (2) State Treasurer; (3) President of State Senate;
- (4) Speaker of State House of Representatives. (Present
- succession: (1) President of Senate; (2) Speaker of House;
- (3) Secretary of State; (4) State Treasurer.)

72

Vote Yes (For)

73

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 Referenda

State Measure

General Election, November 7, 1972

Yes = 342,885; No = 558,136

Measure No. 9:

**9. PROHIBITS PROPERTY TAX FOR SCHOOL OPERATIONS**

— Purpose: Constitutional Amendment prohibiting the  
 — levy of property taxes to pay the operating expenses of  
 — elementary schools, high schools and community colleges.

74

Vote Yes (For)

75

Vote No (Against)

Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaState MeasurePrimary Election, May 23, 1972Yes = 327,231; No = 349,746Measure No. 1:

**1. ELIMINATES LITERACY REQUIREMENT: LOWERS VOTING AGE—**  
**Purpose:** This measure amends the Oregon Constitution to eliminate the requirement that every voter must be able, except for physical disability, to read and write the English language. It also lowers the minimum voting age from 21 to 18 years of age for all elections in Oregon.

76

Vote Yes (For)

77

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaState MeasurePrimary Election, May 23, 1972Yes = 420,568; No = 206,436Measure No. 2:

**2. REPEALS REQUIREMENT FOR DECENNIAL STATE CENSUS—**  
**Purpose:** This measure repeals Section 5 of Article IV of the Oregon Constitution which requires the state legislature to provide for a census "of all the white population of the State" every ten years, in the fifth year of each decade.

78

Vote Yes (For)

79

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaState MeasurePrimary Election, May 23, 1972Yes = 241,371; No = 391,698Measure No. 3:3. ALLOWS LEGISLATORS TO CALL SPECIAL SESSIONS—

Purpose: This measure amends the Oregon Constitution  
 to allow a majority of members of each house of the  
 legislature to call a special session of the legislature either  
 by joint resolution, or by petition filed with the presiding  
 officers in a manner provided by law. The resolution or  
 petition may limit the matters to be considered at the  
 special session. This does not affect the Governor's  
 authority to call a special session of the legislature.

80

Vote Yes (For)

81

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaState MeasurePrimary Election, May 23, 1972Yes = 232,391; No = 364,323Measure No. 4:4. CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION BONDS FOR STATE GOVERNMENT—

Purpose: This Constitutional Amendment  
 authorizes issuance of general obligation bonds not to  
 exceed at any one time one fourth of 1% of true cash  
 value of all taxable property. The bond revenues are to  
 finance the cost of buildings and other state government  
 projects, and are to be repaid from gifts, rentals, parking  
 and other building fees. The legislature, however, may  
 impose a property tax if such gifts, rentals and fees are  
 insufficient to pay indebtedness.

82

Vote Yes (For)

83

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaState MeasurePrimary Election, May 23, 1972Yes = 233,174; No = 374,295Measure No. 5:5. IRRIGATION AND WATER DEVELOPMENT BONDS—

Purpose: Authorizes bonds up to one percent of true cash  
 value of taxable property in state to provide funds to be  
 advanced for construction and, when necessary for secur-  
 ity of state, the operation and maintenance of irrigation  
 projects to and upon farms owned by Oregon residents  
 (including corporations and public bodies) and to acquire  
 easements and rights-of-way for water development pro-  
 jects; authorizes ad valorem tax sufficient to pay principal  
 and interest on bonds.

84

Vote Yes (For)

85

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaState MeasurePrimary Election, May 23, 1972Yes = 120,027; No = 491,551Measure No. 6:6. ENABLING COUNTY-CITY VEHICLE REGISTRATION

TAX—Purpose: Allows counties to levy \$10 annual vehicle  
 registration tax for highway and park purposes. Exempts  
 certain trailers, campers, mobile homes and other vehicles.  
 The county and cities in county would share revenues  
 equally. The county may instead levy a tax of \$5 solely  
 for its own purposes or if required by cities, must levy a  
 \$5 tax for distribution to cities in county.

86

Vote Yes (For)

87

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 1, 1973Yes = 253,682; No = 353,219

Measure 1 is an amendment to the Oregon Constitution, referred to the people by the Legislature for a special state-wide election on May 1.

It limits local property taxes for schools, shifting school support to the state General Fund. The same amendment would permit a state-wide levy on non-residential property to help fund schools. Approval of Measure 1 would also provide taxation and distribution methods to carry out the purposes of the constitutional amendment.

The property tax relief and school revenue program will be financed through a combination of personal and corporate income tax increases, a business profits tax, a property tax on non-residential property, and over \$300 million of other money from the General Fund of the state. The actual appropriations are not included in Measure 1.

88

Vote Yes (For)

89

Vote No (Against)

90

Total Vote Cast

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 353,357; No = 384,521Measure No. 1:MEASURE NO. 1.LIQUOR LICENSES FOR PUBLIC PASSENGER CARRIERS

—Purpose: This measure amends the Oregon Constitution to permit granting liquor-by-the-drink licenses to any public passenger carrier, rather than interstate railroad corporations only as under the present provision.

91

Vote Yes (For)

92

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 546,255; No = 165,778Measure No. 2:

MEASURE NO. 2. OPENS ALL LEGISLATIVE DELIBERATIONS TO PUBLIC—  
 Purpose: This constitutional amendment requires all deliberations of the legislature and  
 all legislative committees to be open, eliminating the exception which now permits either  
 house of the Legislature to require secrecy for a particular meeting.

93

Vote Yes (For)

94

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 437,557; No = 246,902Measure No. 3:

MEASURE NO. 3. REVISES CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR GRAND  
 JURIES—Purpose: This measure amends Oregon Constitution to provide that a grand jury  
 indictment is not necessary for a felony prosecution if a person has been charged and a  
 magistrate finds at a preliminary hearing that there is probable cause to believe that the  
 person in fact committed a felony. The amendment does not eliminate a citizen's right  
 to jury trial, but only deals with the method by which a person is charged with a crime.

95

Vote Yes (For)

96

Vote No (Against)



State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 381,593; No = 331,756Measure No. 4:

MEASURE NO. 4. GOVERNOR VACANCY SUCCESSOR AGE REQUIREMENT  
 - ELIMINATED—Purpose: Under Section 2, Article V of the Oregon Constitution, the Gov-  
 - ernor must be at least 30 years of age, although in case of a vacancy the person who  
 - would succeed to the office under Section 8a, Article V, might not be of that age. This  
 - measure amends the constitution to eliminate the 30-year minimum age requirement for  
 - a person succeeding to the office of Governor under Section 8a, Article V.

97

Vote Yes (For)

98

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 552,737; No = 146,364Measure No. 6:

MEASURE NO. 6. PERMITS ESTABLISHING QUALIFICATIONS FOR COUNTY  
 - ASSESSORS—Purpose: This constitutional amendment permits the legislature to prescribe  
 - qualifications by law for the office of county assessor.

99

Vote Yes (For)

100

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 322,023; No = 329,858Measure No. 7:MEASURE NO. 7.

TAX BASE INCLUDES REVENUE SHARING MONEY—Pur-

pose: This constitutional amendment provides that if federal revenue sharing moneys  
 received by a city or county permit a levy less than the lawful maximum tax base for a  
 given year, the tax base shall not be reduced by that amount.

101

Vote Yes (For)

102

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 337,565; No = 378,071Measure No. 8:MEASURE NO. 8.

REVISES SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION VOTING RE-

QUIREMENTS—Purpose: This constitutional amendment reduces the minimum age for  
 voting in a school district election from 21 years of age to 18 years of age, and reduces  
 the requirement of six months' residence in the district to 30 days residence in the district.

103

Vote Yes (For)

104

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 218,846; No = 476,547Measure No 9:

MEASURE NO. 9. PERMITS STATE EMPLOYEES TO BE LEGISLATORS—  
 Purpose: This constitutional amendment permits a state employe, other than an execu-  
 tive in the exempt or nonacademic unclassified service, to simultaneously serve as a  
 member of the legislature. The legislature may provide by law for rules governing  
 leaves, position protection and other employment relationships during election campaigns  
 and during legislative service.

105

Vote Yes (For)

106

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 362,731; No - 355,506Measure No. 10:

MEASURE NO. 10. REVISES OREGON VOTER QUALIFICATION REQUIRE-  
 MENTS—Purpose: This measure amends the Oregon Constitution to conform with U. S.  
 Constitution by lowering the minimum voting age for all elections in Oregon from 21  
 years to 18 years. Reduces the state residency requirement from six months to 30 days.  
 Eliminates the requirement that every voter be able, except for physical disability, to  
 read and write the English language.

107

Vote Yes (For)

108

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 480,631; No = 216,853Measure No. 11:

MEASURE NO. 11. RIGHT TO JURY IN CIVIL CASES—Purpose: This constitutional amendment increases the minimum amount of a claim in a civil action for which the right to a jury trial is constitutionally guaranteed, from \$20 to \$200.

109

Vote Yes (For)

110

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 377,723; No = 376,747Measure No. 12:

MEASURE NO. 12. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND BONDS—Purpose: Constitutional amendment permitting state bonding to assist cities and counties for Community Development Projects (housing, water supplies, streets, lighting, site preparation, etc.). The purpose of this measure is to assist local government in meeting match requirements in order to qualify for federal financing of community development projects. Total bonds cannot exceed 1% of value of taxable property in the state. Bonds are to be financed in manner specified by legislature or otherwise by state property tax. ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECTS: Based on an estimate of Oregon's 1974 taxable property, this constitutional amendment would establish a maximum bonding limitation of \$276 million for the Community Development Fund.

111

Vote Yes (For)

112

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 393,743; No = 352,958Measure No. 13:MEASURE NO. 13.OBSCENITY AND SEXUAL CONDUCT BILL—Purpose:

— This measure makes it a crime to distribute or exhibit "obscene" materials to adults  
 or to conduct live sex shows in public places or clubs. Defines "obscene." Also redefines  
 — the crime of prostitution to not only prohibit engaging in sexual intercourse for a fee,  
 but also any physical touching for the purpose of arousing or gratifying sexual desire,  
 — and to prohibit paying for either.

113

Vote Yes (For)

114

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 498,002; No = 177,946Measure No. 14:MEASURE NO. 14.PUBLIC OFFICIALS' FINANCIAL ETHICS AND REPORT-

— ING—Purpose: Establishes rules of conduct regarding public officials' financial matters  
 and conflict of interest. Requires certain paid and unpaid public officials and political  
 — candidates to publicly report sources of income exceeding \$1,000 and certain debts relat-  
 ing to public officials' or candidates' governmental responsibilities. Creates Oregon  
 — Government Ethics Commission to administer act. Also amends lobbyist reporting statutes  
 and transfers administration of lobbyist law from Secretary of State to Ethics Commission.  
 — Provides penalties.

115

Vote Yes (For)

116

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaInitiativeGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 458,417; No = 274,182Measure No. 15:MEASURE NO. 15.PROMIBITS PURCHASE OR SALE OF STEELHEAD—

— Purpose: Declares it to be the policy of the state to manage steelhead and other rainbow trout for recreational angling and to protect wild native stocks. Recognizes that steelhead intermingle with food fish and directs regulation to minimize incidental catch of steelhead by commercial gear. Prohibits purchase or sale of such incidental catch and directs delivery to state for distribution to public institutions or charitable organizations. Indian treaty fishing rights not affected. Repeals ORS 509.030.

— ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECTS: Based on the 1973 poundage revenue, an estimated loss in steelhead poundage revenue is \$6,025.

117

Vote Yes (For)

118

Vote No (Against)

Referenda


---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 28, 1974Yes =136,851; No - 410,733Measure No. 1:

\_\_\_\_\_ **1. INCOME, CORPORATE TAX, SCHOOL SUPPORT IN-**  
 \_\_\_\_\_ **CREASE—Purpose:** Beginning in 1975, increases personal  
 \_\_\_\_\_ income tax rate by 1% for most taxpayers, eliminates  
 \_\_\_\_\_ federal tax deduction in excess of \$3000 and permits  
 \_\_\_\_\_ single taxpayer to use married taxpayer income tax re-  
 \_\_\_\_\_ turn rates. Changes corporation tax laws to graduate  
 \_\_\_\_\_ income tax with a net tax increase for most corporations.  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Increases state basic school support for local school dis-  
 \_\_\_\_\_ tricts and changes distribution to school districts.

119

Vote Yes (For)

120

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 28, 1974Yes =190,899; No = 369,038Measure No. 2:

\_\_\_\_\_ **2. HIGHWAY FUND USE FOR MASS TRANSIT—Purpose:** \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ This constitutional amendment would permit use of motor  
 \_\_\_\_\_ vehicle fuel and registration tax money, now limited to \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ highway, park, recreational, scenic and historical uses,  
 \_\_\_\_\_ for mass transit systems and for financial assistance to \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ persons or property displaced by highway or mass transit  
 \_\_\_\_\_ construction or other work.

121

Vote Yes (For)

122

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 28, 1974Yes = 166,363; No = 371,897Measure No. 3:**3. NEW SCHOOL DISTRICT TAX BASE LIMITATION—**

**Purpose:** Constitutional amendment creating new property tax limitation for school districts and repealing existing 6% limitation for school districts. Commencing in 1975 school district tax bases would increase by 5½% per year. The beginning tax base would be the 1974 budget excluding expenditures made from federal funds, serial and bond levies. Future school tax base increases can only be authorized by voters and school districts cannot have more than two tax base elections in single year.

123

Vote Yes (For)

124

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 28, 1974Yes = 198,563; No = 328,221Measure No. 4: **4. AUTHORIZES BONDS FOR WATER DEVELOPMENT**

**FUND—Purpose:** This constitutional amendment authorizes the state to issue, sell and refinance bonds, up to 1½% of true cash value of all property in the state, to create the Water Development Fund. Proceeds would finance loans for construction of municipal and private irrigation and water development projects, and for their operation and maintenance when necessary for state security. The bonds would be funded as the Legislature may provide, or by statewide ad valorem taxes.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECTS:** Based on an estimate of Oregon's 1975 taxable property, this constitutional amendment would establish a maximum bonding limitation of \$406 million for the Water Development Fund.

125

Vote Yes (For)

126

Vote No (Against)



State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 28, 1974Yes = 381,559; No = 164,953Measure No. 5:**5. INCREASES VETERANS' LOAN BONDING AUTHORITY**

**Purpose:** This constitutional amendment increases the amount of indebtedness which the state may incur for the Oregon War Veterans' Fund, proceeds of which are used for farm and home loans to veterans, from four percent of true cash value of all property in the state, to six percent of such value.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECTS:** Based on an estimate of Oregon's 1974 taxable property, this constitutional amendment would increase the maximum bonding limitation by \$497 million for the Oregon War Veterans' Fund.

127

Vote Yes (For)

128

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 28, 1974Yes = 246,525; No = 298,373Measure No. 6:**6. PERMITS LEGISLATURE TO CALL SPECIAL SESSION—**

**Purpose:** The constitution now permits special session to be called only by the Governor. This measure would amend the constitution to permit the legislature to call itself into special session. The legislature would be convened by its presiding officers within five days after receiving written requests from a majority of members of each house.

129

Vote Yes (For)

130

Vote No (Against)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1976 Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election, May 25, 1976

Yes = 549,553; Yes = 158,997

CA: Expands Veterans' home-farm loan eligibility:  
 Amends constitutional provision relating to eligibility  
 of veterans to receive home and farm loans from the  
 Oregon War Veterans' Fund, extending eligibility to  
 any World War II veteran resident in Oregon with at  
 least two years Oregon residence after separation  
 from service after January 31, 1960 resident in Oregon  
 and with two years' Oregon residence before May 1, 1977.

131

Vote Yes (For)

132

Vote No (Against)

133

Total Votes

134

Vote Yes (Percent)

135

Vote No (Percent)

1976 Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election, May 25, 1979

Yes = 639,977; No = 59,774

CA: Discipline of Judges: Amends Constitutional  
 section providing that Supreme Court may remove a  
 judge from office for certain misconduct, by adding  
 authority of Supreme Court to suspend or censure as  
 well as remove judge. Present grounds for discipline  
 (felony conviction, failure to perform judicial duties,  
 habitual drunkenness, illegal drug use) are expanded  
 to also include wilful misconduct in office related

136

Vote Yes (For) to performance of Judicial duties,  
 general incompetence, and wilful

137

Vote No (Against) violation of any rule of  
 judicial conduct.

138

Total Votes

139

Votes Yes (Percent)

140

Votes No (Percent)

CA: Discipline of Judges  
to performance of Judicial duties, general  
incompetence, and wilful violation of any  
rule of judicial conduct

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1976 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Eleciton, May 25, 1979Yes = 315,588; No = 362,414

CA: Housing Bonds: Authorizes bonds up to one-half  
of one percent of true cash value of taxable property  
in state to provide funds for advances to lending  
institutions and qualified housing sponsors to stimulate  
the state's economy and provide multifamily housing  
for the benefit of elderly households, such bonds  
to be paid from revenues generated by the advances  
and ad valorem taxes.

CA: Housing Bonds

Estimate of Financial Effects: Based on an estimate of  
Oregon's 1976 taxable property, this constitutional amendment would  
establish a maximum bonding limitation of \$185 million to  
provide additional financing for multifamily housing for the  
benefit of elderly households.

141           Vote Yes (For)  
142   Vote No (Against)  
143           Total Votes  
144   Vote Yes (percent)  
145   Vote No (percent)

1976 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 25, 1976Yes = 170,331; No = 531,219

CA: Authorizes vehicle tax mass transit use:  
Amends constitutional provision relating to use of  
motor vehicles fuel taxes and taxes on ownership,  
operation and use of motor vehicles to permit a tax  
on ownership, operation and use of motor vehicles  
levied by a transit district to be used for transportation  
of passengers.

146           Vote Yes (For)  
147   Vote No (Against)  
148           Total Votes  
149   Votes Yes (Percent)  
150   Votes No (Percent)

CA: Housing Bonds

Estimate of Financial Effects: Based on an estimate of Oregon's 1976 taxable property, this constitutional amendment would establish a maximum bonding limitation of \$185 million to provide additional financing for multifamily housing for the benefit of elderly households.

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 607,325 No - 247,843</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 1: Provides that if two or more legisla-</u>
			<u>tive acts at the same session amend the same statute,</u>
			<u>both amendments shall be given effect unless they conflict</u>
			<u>in purpose. In case of a conflict, the act last signed</u>
			<u>by the Governor controls. Under present constitutional</u>
			<u>requirements, passage of a second amendment of the same</u>
			<u>statute which inadvertently fails to incorporate the</u>
			<u>earlier amendment nullifies the first amendment.</u>
151			Vote Yes (For)
152			Vote No (Against)
153			Total Votes
154			Vote Yes (Percent)
155			Vote No (Percent)
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 376,489 No = 536,967</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 2: Constitutional provisions now require</u>
			<u>city officers to be nominated on the state-wide primary</u>
			<u>election day, and city and county officers to be elected</u>
			<u>on the state-wide general election day. This measure</u>
			<u>amends those provisions to permit the legislature to</u>
			<u>adopts laws providing a different state-wide uniform</u>
			<u>day for each of such nominating or regular elections.</u>
156			Vote Yes (For)
157			Vote No (Against)
158			Total Votes
159			Votes Yes (Percent)
160			Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
		<u>1976</u>	<u>Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 285,777    No = 679,517</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 3: This measure would amend the consti-</u>
			<u>tution to lower the minimum age for service as a State</u>
			<u>Senator or State Representative from 21 to 18, and</u>
			<u>adds a requirement that a Senator or Representative</u>
			<u>must be a registered voter of this state.</u>
			<u> </u>
			<u> </u>
161			<u>Vote Yes (For)</u>
162			<u>Vote No (Against)</u>
163			<u>Total Votes</u>
164			<u>Vote Yes (Percent)</u>
165			<u>Vote No (Percent)</u>
		<u>1976</u>	<u>Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 507,308    No = 368,646</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 4: This measure would repeal the consti-</u>
			<u>tutional provision which authorizes legislation to</u>
			<u>provide for emergency succession to public offices</u>
			<u>becoming vacant, and to adopt other measures providing</u>
			<u>for the continuity of government, in a disaster</u>
			<u>resulting from enemy attack.</u>
			<u> </u>
			<u> </u>
166			<u>Vote Yes (For)</u>
167			<u>Vote No (Against)</u>
168			<u>Total Votes</u>
169			<u>Votes Yes (Percent)</u>
170			<u>Votes No (Percent)</u>

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
		<u>1976</u>	<u>Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 549,126    No = 377,354</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 5: This measure would allow the legisla-</u>
			<u>ture, which now may convene only in regular biennial</u>
			<u>session or in special session called by the Governor,</u>
			<u>to convene itself at any time. The presiding officers</u>
			<u>are required to convene the legislature within five</u>
			<u>days after receiving the written request declaring an</u>
			<u>emergency by the majority of the members of each House.</u>
171			Vote Yes (For)
172			Vote No (Against)
173			Total Votes
174			Vote Yes (Percent)
175			Vote No (Percent)
		<u>1976</u>	<u>Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 682,252    No = 281,696</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 6: This measure would amend the consti-</u>
			<u>tutional provision prohibiting lotteries to permit</u>
			<u>charitable, fraternal and religious organizations, de-</u>
			<u>defined as such and exempt from income tax under the</u>
			<u>federal tax laws, to conduct bingo or lotto games.</u>
176			Vote Yes (For)
177			Vote No (Against)
178			Total Votes
179			Votes Yes (Percent)
180			Votes No (Percent)



State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 263,738 No = 659,327</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 7: Provides public funding for communica-</u>
			<u>tions expenditures in general election campaigns, up</u>
			<u>to \$90,000 for state offices elected in the state at</u>
			<u>large, \$4,900 for State Senator and \$2,450 for State</u>
			<u>Representatives. Eligibility based on minimum expenditure</u>
			<u>from private contributions and minimum percentage of</u>
			<u>total vote received.</u>
181			Vote Yes (For)
182			Vote No (Against)
183			Total Votes
184			Vote Yes (Percent)
185			Vote No (Percent)
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 465,143 No = 505,124</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 8: This measure, adopted by the legisla-</u>
			<u>ture and referred by petition, would increase the motor</u>
			<u>fuel tax from seven to eight cents per gallon, and</u>
			<u>graduated weight-mile and flat fee tax rates for</u>
			<u>commercial vehicles, in two yearly increments averaging</u>
			<u>13% each. Increased revenues generated would be used</u>
			<u>solely for highway maintenance and reconstruction.</u>
186			Vote Yes (For)
187			Vote No (Against)
188			Total Votes
189			Votes Yes (Percent)
190			Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 423,008    No = 584,845</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 9: Imposes conditions on approval of</u>
			<u>sites and construction of nuclear power plants, not</u>
			<u>previously finally approved by May 12, 1975, including:</u>
			<u>removal of all federally imposed liability limits; all</u>
			<u>safety systems tested and found effective in operation</u>
			<u>in substantially similar systems; waste disposal found</u>
			<u>to be permanently without chance of radioactivity</u>
			<u>escape.</u>
191			Vote Yes (For)
192			Vote No (Against)
193			Total Votes
194			Vote Yes (Percent)
195			Vote No (Percent)
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 406,608    No = 536,502</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 10: This measure repeals statutes relating</u>
			<u>to state-wide land use planning, coordination and control,</u>
			<u>and creating and prescribing powers, duties of Land Con-</u>
			<u>servation and Development Commission and Department,</u>
			<u>including statutes relating to state-wide land use goals</u>
			<u>and guidelines, review and control of local comprehensive</u>
			<u>plans, land use decisions, and activities of state-wide</u>
			<u>significance. The statute establishing standards for</u>
			<u>county comprehensive plans is amended to delete reference</u>
196			Vote Yes (For) to state-wide goals and guideline
197			Vote No (Against)
198			Total Votes
199			Votes Yes (Percent)
200			Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 419,567 No = 555,981</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 11: This measure would make it unlawful</u>
			<u>and subject to abatement as a public nuisance for any</u>
			<u>person or governmental unit to add fluoride-containing</u>
			<u>compounds to any community water supply system.</u>
201			Vote Yes (For)
202			Vote No (Against)
203			Total Votes
204			Vote Yes (Percent)
205			Vote No (Percent)
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 333,933 No = 525,868</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 12: This measure proposes repeal of</u>
			<u>ORS 190.003 to 190.110, which authorize local govern-</u>
			<u>ments, and the state, to enter into agreements with</u>
			<u>each other or otherwise to cooperate in performances of</u>
			<u>any of their functions and activities; and also repeal</u>
			<u>of ORS 197.705 to 197.795, which provide for creation</u>
			<u>of a regional planning agency for the Clackamas-Washington</u>
			<u>Multnomah County metropolitan area, and specify its</u>
			<u>organization, duties and powers.</u>
206			Vote Yes (For)
207			Vote No (Against)
208			Total Votes
209			Votes Yes (Percent)
210			Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
			<u>1977</u> Referenda
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>Special Election, May 17, 1977</u>
			<u>Yes = 112,570 No = 252,061</u>
			<u>Measure No. 1: Shall the school operating levy</u>
			<u>measure be passed?</u>
211			Vote Yes (For)
212			Vote No (Against)
213			Total Votes
214			Vote Yes (Percent)
215			Vote No (Percent)
			<u>1977</u> Referenda
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>Special Election, May 17, 1977</u>
			<u>Yes = 200,270 No = 158,436</u>
			<u>Measure No 2: Shall additional veterans' fund uses</u>
			<u>be authorized?</u>
216			Vote Yes (For)
217			Vote No (Against)
218			Total Votes
219			Votes Yes (Percent)
220			Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
			<u>1977</u> Referenda
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>Special Election, May 17, 1977</u>
			<u>yes = 250,783 No = 106,953</u>
			<u>Measure No. 3: Shall the Veterans' loan bonding</u>
			<u>authority be increased?</u>
221			Vote Yes (For)
222			Vote No (Against)
223			Total Votes
224			Vote Yes (Percent)
225			Vote No (Percent)
			<u>1977</u> Referenda
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>Special Election, November 8, 1977</u>
			<u>Yes = 124,484 No = 118,953</u>
			<u>Measure No. 4: Shall a water development loan fund</u>
			<u>be created?</u>
226			Vote Yes (For)
227			Vote No (Against)
228			Total Votes
229			Votes Yes (Percent)
230			Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #    Deck #    Cols. #Description1977    ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, November 8, 1977Yes = 205,219    No = 137,693Measure No. 5: Shall there be development of  
nonnuclear natural energy resources?

231

Vote Yes (For)

232

Vote No (Against)

233

Total Votes

234

Vote Yes (Percent)

235

Vote No (Percent)

Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Votes Yes (Percent)

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 23, 1978Yes = 306,506; No = 156,623

**Measure No. 1** *CA* HOME RULE COUNTY INITIATIVE-REFERENDUM REQUIREMENTS  
**PURPOSE:** Proposed amendment to county home rule charter constitutional provision. Requires charter county to allow minimum 90 day period for filing referendum petition. Initiative, referendum petitions circulated shall set forth measure in full; no ballot title required for circulation of referendum petition. Signatures required for referendum set at four percent, for initiative ordinance at six percent, for proposed charter amendment at eight percent, of votes cast for Governor in county at last four year term election.

236

Vote Yes (For)

237

Vote No (Against)

238

Total Votes

239

Vote Yes (Percent)

240

Vote No (Percent)

1978 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 23, 1978Yes = 435,338; No = 80,176

**Measure No. 2** *CA* OPEN MEETINGS RULES FOR LEGISLATURE  
**PURPOSE:** Section 14, Article IV of the Oregon Constitution now requires all meetings of each house of the Oregon legislature, and meetings of their committees and committees of the whole, to be open. This proposed amendment adds a requirement that meetings of joint committees also be open, and that each house, and houses jointly for any joint activity, shall adopt rules to carry out the open meetings requirement.

241

Vote Yes (For)

242

Vote No (Against)

243

Total Votes

244

Votes Yes (Percent)

245

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 23, 1978Yes = 291,788; No = 250,810**Measure No. 3** *CA***HOUSING FOR LOW INCOME ELDERLY**

**PURPOSE:** Authorizes general obligation bonds to finance multifamily housing for low income elderly. Such bonds to be issued in amounts not to exceed one-half of one percent of true cash value of taxable property in Oregon.

246

Vote Yes (For)

247

Vote No (Against)

248

Total Votes

249

Vote Yes (Percent)

250

Vote No (Percent)

1978 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 23, 1978Yes = 148,822; No = 351,843**Measure No. 4** *CA***DOMESTIC WATER FUND CREATED**

**PURPOSE:** Amends state constitution to permit bonded indebtedness up to one-half percent true cash value of property in the state. Funds raised from such bonds to be used by state to acquire local government obligations issued to pay for public water systems. Bonds will be repaid by local governments using the Fund, or by replacement revenue provided by the Legislature. Bonds guaranteed by statewide ad valorem tax in case of default. Enabling legislation required.

251

Vote Yes (For)

252

Vote No (Against)

253

Total Votes

254

Votes Yes (Percent)

255

Votes No (Percent)



State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 23, 1978Yes = 190,301; No = 365,170

**Measure No. 5 CA** HIGHWAY REPAIR PRIORITY, GAS TAX INCREASE  
**PURPOSE:** Requires highway user revenues available for highway construction and maintenance to be used first for maintenance, reconstruction, rehabilitation and modernization under Six Year Highway Improvement Plan before any new highway construction, except I-205 completion. Limits amount of revenues useable for parks, footpaths, bicycle trails, state police. Increases state motor vehicle fuel tax from 7 cents to 9 cents per gallon. Diesel log truck alternative fees increased. Increases weight mile and flat fee taxes for some commercial vehicles.

256

Vote Yes (For)

257

Vote No (Against)

258

Total Votes

259

Vote Yes (Percent)

260

Vote No (Percent)

1978

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 358,504; 449,132

**6** **CA** **X. APPELLATE JUDGE SELECTION, RUNNING ON RECORD**—Purpose: Amends constitution to provide new selection, reelection method for judges of Supreme Court, Appeals Court, and Tax Court judges. Governor fills vacancy from "well-qualified" list submitted by nonpartisan nominating commission consisting of Chief Justice plus three lawyers, three laymen appointed by Governor pursuant to law. Appointed judges serve until second general election after appointment. Incumbent judges reelected for six years by "yes" vote majority in general election; if majority vote "no", office becomes vacant.

261

Vote Yes (For)

262

Vote No (Against)

263

Total Votes

264

Votes Yes (Percent)

265

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 468,458; No = 349,604

2. **AUTHORIZES SENATE CONFIRMATION OF GOVERNOR'S APPOINTMENTS—Purpose:** Proposed constitutional amendment authorizes legislation requiring confirmation by the State Senate of all appointments and reappointments to state public office by the Governor, including vacancies in elective office except judges, United States Senator or Representative, and district, county and precinct offices. Appointees are not eligible to serve until and unless confirmed as required by law.

266

Vote Yes (For)

267

Vote No (Against)

268

Total Votes

269

Vote Yes (Percent)

270

Vote No (Percent)

1978 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 208,722; No = 673,802

2. **VEHICLE REGISTRATION AND FEE INCREASE REFERENDUM — Purpose:** Referendum of measure concerning vehicle registration and fees. Requires annual registration at same fee (\$20 for most private vehicles) as for present biennial registration, except that fee for first vehicle of registrant 65 or older is set at \$12.50. Increases most motor carrier rates. Increases annual light truck fee from \$10 to \$20. Annual recreational vehicle fee reduced to half present biennial fee. Emissions test certificate for Portland-area vehicles required every second registration only.

KA **ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECTS:** Passage of this measure will result in increasing net tax revenue of \$414,000 in fiscal year 1979; \$8,572,000 in fiscal year 1980; \$20,084,000 in fiscal year 1981.

271

Vote Yes (For)

272

Vote No (Against)

273

Total Votes

274

Votes Yes (Percent)

275

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978 Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 7, 1978

Yes = 375,587; No = 471,027

9  
1A  
SHORTENS FORMATION PROCEDURES FOR PEOPLE'S UTILITY DISTRICTS—Purpose: Allows single election authorizing People's Utility District formation, including authority for revenue bond issuance for initial facilities, subject to qualified engineer's certificate that district revenues will be sufficient to repay bonds. Shortens formation, annexation, consolidation procedures, substituting county governing body for State Energy Director. Authorizes PUDs to supply public utility service. Allows exclusion of electric cooperatives, municipalities. Protects some existing benefits for employees of acquired private utilities. General obligation bond issuance requires voter approval.

276

Vote Yes (For)

277

Vote No (Against)

278

Total Votes

279

Vote Yes (Percent)

280

Vote No (Percent)

1978 Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 7, 1978

Yes = 704,480; No = 201,463

10  
1A  
AUTHORIZES, REGULATES PRACTICE OF DENTURE TECHNOLOGY—Purpose: Measure authorizes taking oral impressions by licensed denturist, and constructing, repairing, fitting, etc., of dentures by licensed denturists or their assistants. Treatment requires dentist's or physician's certificate that oral cavity is free from disease and suitable for denture. Establishes licensing requirements, creates Advisory Council on Denture Technology within Health Division. Any dental insurance policy covering any service which may be performed by denturists must cover denturist's services. Major provisions of Act effective July 1, 1980.

281

Vote Yes (For)

282

Vote No (Against)

283

Total Votes

284

Votes Yes (Percent)

285

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978 ReferendaInitiated ActGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 424,029; No = 453,741

11 LIMITATIONS ON AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES — Purpose: Proposed constitutional amendment limits ad valorem real property taxes to 1-1/2% "full cash value," defined as 1975 assessed value, or appraised value on later sale or new construction. Allows maximum 2% annual inflation increase. Requires two-thirds vote of each house for new or increased state taxes; two-thirds popular vote required for special local taxes; prohibits new ad valorem, sales, or transaction taxes on real property.

286

Vote Yes (For)

287

Vote No (Against)

288

Total Votes

289

Vote Yes (Percent)

290

Vote No (Percent)

1978 ReferendaInitiated ActGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 431,577; No = 461,542

12 PROHIBITS STATE EXPENDITURES, PROGRAMS OR SERVICES FOR ABORTION—Purpose: Measure prohibits any State agency from spending any State money for abortions, and from providing any programs or services promoting abortion.

291

Vote Yes (For)

292

Vote No (Against)

293

Total Votes

294

Votes Yes (Percent)

295

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978

## Referenda

Initiated ActGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 573,707; No = 318,610

13  
1A  
REQUIRES DEATH PENALTY FOR MURDER UNDER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS — Purpose: Requires separate sentencing procedure before judge after murder conviction. Requires death penalty if judge, beyond reasonable doubt, finds: defendant acted deliberately with reasonable expectation death would result; and probability defendant is continuing violent threat to society; and defendant responded unreasonably to provocation, if any, by deceased. Automatic Supreme Court review. If any finding is negative, sentence is life with minimum of 25 years confinement before parole. Adds homicide by air piracy or bomb to murder definition.  
ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECTS: Passage of this measure will result in a one time cost of \$120,000 in general revenue to construct a gas chamber.

296

Vote Yes (For)

297

Vote No (Against)

298

Total Votes

299

Vote Yes (Percent)

300

Vote No (Percent)

1978

## Referenda

Initiated ActGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 589,361; No = 267,132

14  
1A  
LIMITATIONS ON PUBLIC UTILITY RATE BASE—Purpose: Initiative would prohibit public utilities from charging customers rates based on a rate base which includes the cost, including construction or acquisition cost, of real or personal property not presently used to provide utility service to the customer.

301

Vote Yes (For)

304

Vote No (Against)

303

Total Votes

204

Votes Yes (Percent)

305

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978 ReferendaInitiated ActGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 334,523; No = 515,138

30. LAND USE PLANNING, ZONING CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT—Purpose: Nullifies Land Conservation Development Commission adopted planning goals, guidelines March 8, 1979. Cities, counties must adopt comprehensive plans, have all planning, zoning authority except legislature must prescribe goals, zoning, planning, notice procedures to be used. Legislature may establish an advisory commission and may regulate use in statewide significant geographic areas subject to compensation for adversely affected owners. Voter approval required before new regional planning district organized. State, local land use legislative acts subject to referendum.

306

Vote Yes (For)

307

Vote No (Against)

308

Total Votes

309

Vote Yes (Percent)

310

Vote No (Percent)

1978 ReferendaInitiated ActGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 383,532; No = 467,765

31. REDUCES PROPERTY TAX PAYABLE BY HOMEOWNER AND RENTER—Purpose: Proposed constitutional amendment to reduce tax payable by homeowners by one-half up to \$1,500. Provides comparable relief to renters. Limits state and local government expenditures. Requires two-thirds legislative vote for certain tax measures. Refunds remaining state surplus to income taxpayers. Freezes assessed values for one tax year. Preserves referendum right on local government tax measures. Specifies that if this measure and Measure No. 6 are approved, only the one receiving most "yes" votes takes effect.

311

Vote Yes (For)

312

Vote No (Against)

313

Total Votes

314

Votes Yes (Percent)

315

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978 ReferendaInitiated ActGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 641,862; No = 134,758

12 "NOTICE: This question is advisory only, and is without binding effect.

17 "Do you support an amendment to the Constitution of the United States that would require a balanced federal budget, except in the event of a national emergency?"

RA

316

Vote Yes (For)

317

Vote No (Against)

318

Total Votes

319

Vote Yes (Percent)

320

Vote No (Percent)

Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Votes Yes (Percent)

Votes No (Percent)

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election--May 20th, 1980Yes=451,695 No=257,230**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT LIMITS USES OF GASOLINE AND HIGHWAY USER TAXES****Measure No. 1**

VOTE "YES" OR "NO"

QUESTION: Shall gasoline, vehicle taxes be used for highways only, not policing, except recreational vehicle taxes also for parks, recreation areas?

PURPOSE: Proposed constitutional amendment would change present limits on use of gasoline and vehicle taxes and fees. These taxes are now available for highways, including their policing, and for parks and recreational and historic places. Change would limit tax use solely to highways, including rest areas but not policing. However, taxes on recreational vehicles could also be used for park and recreation areas, and taxes on commercial vehicles could also be used for weightmaster activities.

321  
322  
323  
324  
325Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election--May 20th, 1980Yes=325,030 No=384,346**AMENDS LIQUOR BY THE DRINK CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION****Measure No. 2**

VOTE "YES" OR "NO"

QUESTION: Shall constitution authorize laws allowing off-premises liquor service by food-serving licensees, and licenses for additional passenger common carriers?

PURPOSE: Constitution now limits passenger common carrier liquor-by-the-drink licenses to railroads; requires commercial liquor licensees to cook and serve food where liquor is served. Proposed amendment would authorize laws permitting liquor licenses for other passenger common carriers (bus, airlines); and allowing commercial liquor licensees cooking and serving food to also serve liquor by the drink at another location where food is not cooked and served, subject to requirements to be established by law.

326  
327  
328  
329  
330Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)



State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsBallot ProposalPrimary Election--May 20th, 1980Yes=394,466 No=278,125

## STATE BONDS FOR SMALL SCALE LOCAL ENERGY PROJECT LOAN FUND

## Measure No. 3

VOTE "YES" OR "NO"

QUESTION: Shall state sell bonds, backed by credit of state, for loan fund for small scale local energy projects?

PURPOSE: Constitutional amendment would authorize state to sell bonds, backed by state credit, up to one-half of one percent of value of taxable property in Oregon, to create a loan fund to finance small scale local energy projects. All loans from fund must be secured. If loan repayments are not enough to repay debt, measure requires annual levy on property in Oregon, or other funds made available by legislature, to repay bond principal and interest.

331  
332  
333  
334  
335Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsBallot ProposalPrimary Election--May 20th, 1980Yes=574,148 No=130,452

## VETERANS' HOME AND FARM LOAN ELIGIBILITY CHANGES

## Measure No. 4

VOTE "YES" OR "NO"

QUESTION: Shall home and farm loan eligibility requirements be made the same for all veterans?

PURPOSE: Proposed constitutional amendment standardizes veterans' home and farm loan eligibility requirements. Requirements are: 210 days active duty, or earlier release for service-connected disability; any service between September, 1940, and December, 1976; honorable discharge or separation to reserve; and Oregon residence at enlistment or for five years after separation from active duty, and at loan application. Eligibility expires 30 years after separation, or January 31, 1985, if later, extending already expired WWI veterans' eligibility.

336  
337  
338  
339  
340Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsBallot ProposalPrimary Election--May 20th, 1980Yes=636,565 No=64,979**CONTINUES TAX REDUCTION PROGRAM****Measure No. 5**

VOTE "YES" OR "NO"

**QUESTION:** Shall tax reduction program adopted in 1979 be continued after 1980?**PURPOSE:** Approval of this measure would allow continuation of the following tax reduction program after 1980:

- (1) Limit on property assessment increases to a statewide average of five percent by class.
- (2) Reduction of the property taxes on owner-occupied principal residences. Equivalent relief to renters.
- (3) Reduction of personal income tax.
- (4) Increased tax relief under Homeowner and Renter Relief Program (HARRP).
- (5) State expenditure limitation.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Vote Yes (percent)

Vote No (percent)

341  
342  
343  
344  
345

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsBallot ProposalPrimary Election--May 20th, 1980Yes=536,002 No=138,675**DEFINITION OF MULTIFAMILY LOW INCOME ELDERLY HOUSING****Measure No. 6**

VOTE "YES" OR "NO"

**QUESTION:** Shall "multifamily housing" bond program allow unit ownership or purchase, as well as rental or lease, by low income elderly?**PURPOSE:** Provides definition of multifamily housing in elderly housing bond program previously approved by voters in May 1978.

Allows bonds to be used to finance structures or facilities which include but are not limited to apartments, cooperatives, mobile home parks and congregate care facilities, in which the units are rented, leased, owned or purchased by low income elderly households.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Vote Yes (percent)

Vote No (percent)

346  
347  
348  
349  
350

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot Proposals

State Measure

General Election--November 4th, 1980

Yes=291,142 No=820,892

**1 REPEAL OF CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION REQUIRING ELECTED SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION --****QUESTION:** Shall the Superintendent of Public Instruction be appointed by the Governor, and not elected?**PURPOSE:** This measure proposes repeal of section 1, Article VIII of the Oregon Constitution, which states that the Governor shall be Superintendent of Public Instruction but that a law may be passed requiring the Superintendent to be elected. Such a law exists. If this measure passes, Oregon Laws 1979, chapter 713 will also go into effect, which will require the Superintendent of Public Instruction to be appointed by the Governor subject to confirmation by the Senate.

YES

NO

351  
352  
353  
354  
355Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot Proposals

State Measure

General Election--November 4th, 1980

Yes=649,961 No=477,287

**2 GUARANTEES MENTALLY HANDICAPPED VOTING RIGHTS, UNLESS ADJUDGED INCOMPETENT TO VOTE --****QUESTION:** Shall mentally handicapped persons have full voting rights, unless declared incompetent to vote as provided by law?**PURPOSE:** Measure proposes constitutional amendment to eliminate present language which prohibits voting by any "idiot or mentally diseased person," changing it to guarantee full voting rights to mentally handicapped persons, unless they have been declared in the manner provided by law to be incompetent to vote.

YES

NO

356  
357  
358  
359  
360Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsState MeasureGeneral Election--November 4th, 1980Yes=604,188 No=494,657

<b>3 DEDICATES OIL, NATURAL GAS TAXES TO COMMON SCHOOL FUND —</b> <b>QUESTION:</b> Shall oil, natural gas taxes (excluding motor vehicle fuel taxes) be dedicated to Common School Fund, and limited to 6%? <b>PURPOSE:</b> Proposed constitutional amendment provides that any taxes on production, storage, use, sale, ownership, etc. of oil or natural gas, except for administrative costs and refunds or credits, shall become a part of the Common School Fund. No such tax shall be higher than six percent of the market value of the oil or natural gas. The measure does not apply to taxes on the retail sale of motor vehicle fuel.	YES
	NO

361  
362  
363  
364  
365

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsState MeasureGeneral Election--November 4th, 1980Yes=320,613 No=823,025

<b>4 INCREASES GAS TAX FROM SEVEN TO NINE CENTS PER GALLON —</b> <b>QUESTION:</b> Shall gas tax be increased from 7¢ to 9¢ per gallon, and some commercial weight-mile taxes be increased? <b>PURPOSE:</b> Measure proposes an increase in the tax on motor vehicle and aircraft fuels from seven to nine cents per gallon, and an increase in weight-mile and flat rate taxes on commercial vehicles using fuel other than gasoline. Increase would be effective January 1, 1981. <b>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:</b> Passage of this measure will increase gas tax revenue approximately \$22.5 million annually.	YES
	NO

366  
367  
368  
369  
370

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsState MeasureGeneral Election--November 4th, 1980Yes=433,258 No=713,825

<b>5 FORBIDS USE, SALE OF SNARE, LEGHOLD TRAPS FOR MOST PURPOSES —</b>		<b>YES</b>
<b>QUESTION:</b> Shall sale, use of snare, leghold traps be forbidden, except for predator control until 1985, or to protect human health? <b>PURPOSE:</b> Proposed measure would forbid sale and use of snare and leghold traps, except temporarily to control predatory animals causing livestock loss, with State Agricultural Department permit. After November 10, 1985, measure would forbid sale and use of snare and leghold traps for any reason except to protect human health and safety, with State Health Division permit. Would not forbid use or sale of mouse, rat, gopher traps, or live "box" traps. Imposes penalties for violations. <b>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:</b> Passage of this measure will eliminate the sale of trapping licenses and tags, reducing state revenue by \$83,000 a year.		<b>NO</b>

371  
372  
373  
374  
375

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsState MeasureGeneral Election--November 4th, 1980Yes=412,781 No=722,089

<b>6 CONSTITUTIONAL REAL PROPERTY TAX LIMIT PRESERVING 85% DISTRICTS' 1977 REVENUE —</b>	
<b>QUESTION:</b> Shall real property taxes be limited, certain taxes be prohibited, and tax increases require 2/3 legislative or popular vote? <b>PURPOSE:</b> Constitutional amendment limits annual real property tax to 1% 1977 true cash value, plus amount necessary to provide 85% (100% for emergency services) 1977-78 districts' revenues. Taxable values, district revenues may increase 2% annually. Tax for existing bonded indebtedness not affected. Preserves HARRP. Requires equivalent renter relief. State ad valorem, all sales, transaction taxes on real property prohibited; tax increases require 2/3 legislative or popular vote. Levies outside 6% limitation require 2/3 popular vote. <b>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:</b> In addition to a revenue impact on local governmental units, passage of this measure will have the following statewide revenue impacts in the first fiscal year of impact (and greater impact thereafter): Property tax relief to homeowners will be reduced by an estimated \$72 million in fiscal year 1981-1982; refunds to renters will be reduced by an estimated \$15 million in fiscal year 1981-1982. HARRP refunds to homeowners will be reduced by estimated \$10 million in fiscal year 1982-1983; refunds to renters will be reduced by an estimated \$5 million in fiscal year 1982-1983. Income tax revenue will increase by an estimated \$12 million in fiscal year 1981-1982.	

376  
377  
378  
379  
380

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

Passage of this measure will prohibit the State from selling additional general obligation bonds for the following presently authorized programs:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| • Oregon State Highway                           | • Oregon Veterans' Welfare                           |
| • State Power Development                        | • Projects   |
| • Oregon Forest Rehabilitation and Reforestation | • Facilities, Community College and Education Center |
| • Oregon Pollution Control                       | • Elderly Multi-Family Housing                       |
| • Irrigation, Drainage and Water Projects        | • Small Scale Energy Projects                        |
- Passage of this measure will not affect any existing state bonds.

<b>YES</b>
<b>NO</b>

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #

### Description

1980 Ballot Proposals

State Measure

General Election--November 4th, 1980

~~Yes=610,860      No=527,311~~

<p><b>7 NUCLEAR PLANT LICENSING REQUIRES VOTER APPROVAL, WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY EXISTENCE —</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall existence of federally licensed permanent nuclear waste disposal facility, and voter approval, be required for nuclear plant site certificate?</p> <p><b>PURPOSE:</b> Measure would require finding of existence of federally licensed permanent disposal facility for spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive wastes, before site certificate for nuclear power plant is granted or Public Utility Commissioner approves plant financing. Voter approval of site certificate issuance at statewide election also required. Measure would not affect site certificate granted before November 15, 1980, and would not prevent site certificate applicant from obtaining other necessary plant license.</p>	<p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>NO</b></p>
---	------------------------------------

381  
382  
383  
384  
385

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State Oregon

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #

### Description

1980 Ballot Proposals

### State Measure

General Election--November 4th, 1980

~~Yes=514,139      No=573,469~~

<p><b>8 STATE BONDS FOR FUND TO FINANCE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES —</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall state sell bonds, backed by credit of state, for fund to finance state, regional or local correctional facilities?</p>		<p><b>YES</b></p>
<p><b>PURPOSE:</b> Constitutional amendment would permit state to sell bonds for fund to finance acquisition, construction or improvement of state, regional or local correctional facilities. Bond issuance not to exceed four thirty-fifths of one percent of true cash value of taxable property in Oregon. Requires legislature to provide for payment of bonds. Terminates bonding authority on January 1, 1991. Exempts measure from tax limits of Ballot Measure No. 6.</p>		<p><b>NO</b></p>
<p><b>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:</b> Based on the estimate of Oregon's 1980 taxable property this constitutional amendment would establish a maximum bonding limitation of \$85.0 million to provide for the Correctional Facility Building Fund.</p>		

386  
387  
388  
389  
390

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot Proposals

General Election November 2, 1982

Yes = 219,034 No = 768,150

**1. INCREASES TAX BASE WHEN NEW PROPERTY  
CONSTRUCTION INCREASES DISTRICT'S VALUE**Question: Shall a taxing district's constitutional tax base  
increase when new property construction causes district's  
true cash value to increase?

NO

391  
392  
393  
394  
395Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State \_\_\_\_\_

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #DescriptionBallot Proposals

General Election November 2, 1982

Yes = 385,672 No = 604,864

**2. LENGTHENS GOVERNOR'S TIME FOR  
POSTSESSION VETO OR APPROVAL OF BILLS**Question: Shall Governor be allowed more time to approve  
or veto bills presented after fifth day before end of  
legislative session?

YES

NO

396  
397  
398  
399  
400Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot Proposals

General Election November 2, 1982

Yes = 504,836 No = 515,626

**3. CONSTITUTIONAL REAL PROPERTY TAX LIMIT PRESERVING  
85% DISTRICTS' 1979 REVENUE**Question: Shall constitution limit real property tax rates and valuations,  
preserve HARRP, require elections for certain taxes and limit tax  
elections?

NO

401  
402  
403  
404  
405Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State \_\_\_\_\_

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #DescriptionBallot Proposals

General Election November 2, 1982

Yes = 440,824 No = 597,970

**4. PERMITS SELF-SERVICE DISPENSING OF MOTOR VEHICLE  
FUEL AT RETAIL**Question: Shall persons other than service station operators/  
employees be allowed to pump gasoline and other vehicle fuels for retail  
sale?

YES

NO

406  
407  
408  
409  
410Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)



## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot ProposalsGeneral Election November 2, 1982Yes = 623, 089 No = 387,907**5. PEOPLE OF OREGON URGE MUTUAL FREEZE ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT**

Question: Shall the people urge United States to propose to Soviet Union a mutual freeze on nuclear weapons system development, deployment?

YES

NO

411  
412  
413  
414  
415Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State \_\_\_\_\_

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #DescriptionBallot ProposalsGeneral Election November 2, 1982Yes 461,271 No = 565,056**6. ENDS STATE'S LAND USE PLANNING POWERS, RETAINS LOCAL PLANNING**

Question: Shall the state's land use authority end, goals be advisory only, and county and city land use planning continue?

YES

NO

416  
417  
418  
419  
420Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var #Description1984

Ballot Proposals

State Measure 1

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 664,464 No = 470,139

**1****CHANGES MINIMUM REQUIREMENT  
FOR RECALL OF PUBLIC OFFICERS****YES**

QUESTION: Shall a recall election be required upon petition of fifteen percent of the gubernatorial electors in a public officer's district?

EXPLANATION: Amends Oregon Constitution. A recall election of a public officer now requires a petition from twenty-five percent of the number of legal voters who voted in the public officer's district at the preceding election for Supreme Court Justice. The measure would reduce the number required to file a petition for recall to fifteen percent. The required percent of electors would be determined based upon the most recent election for Governor.

**NO**

421

Vote Yes (For)

422

Vote No (Against)

423

Total Votes

424

Vote Yes (percent)

425

Vote No (percent)

Var #Description

Ballot Proposals

State Measure 2

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 599,424 No = 616,252

## PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

**2****CONSTITUTIONAL REAL PROPERTY TAX LIMIT**

QUESTION: Shall the Constitution limit real property tax rates and values, require elections for new taxes and limit tax elections?

**YES**

EXPLANATION: Amends Constitution. Limits real property tax to lesser of 1½% 1981 assessed value as adjusted or amount levied for 1983-84. Taxes for authorized debts exempted. Assessed values may increase 2% annually. Requires state-financed renter relief. New or increased taxes require majority vote of 50% of legal voters of taxing unit. Specifies two tax election dates. Limits licenses, user fees and service fees to actual cost. Exempts Social Security benefits from taxation.

**NO**

426

Vote Yes (For)

427

Vote No (Against)

428

Total Votes

429

Vote Yes (percent)

430

Vote No (percent)

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var #Description1984

## Ballot Proposals

State Measure 3

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 637,968 No = 566,826

**3****CREATES CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD  
TO REPRESENT INTERESTS OF UTILITY CONSUMERS**

QUESTION: Should a nonprofit public corporation funded by voluntary contributions be established to represent the interests of utility consumers?

**YES**

EXPLANATION: Creates Citizens' Utility Board to represent interests of electric, telephone, gas and heating utility consumers before legislative, administrative and judicial bodies, conduct research and investigations. Authorizes Oregon residents contributing \$5 minimum to board to vote for members of board. Establishes eligibility requirements and limits contributions and expenditures for board candidates. Authorizes board periodically to include certain materials with utility billings, subject to limited cost reimbursement to utility. Exempts municipalities, cooperatives and people's utility districts.

**NO**

431

Vote Yes (For)

432

Vote No (Against)

433

Total Votes

434

Vote Yes (percent)

435

Vote No (percent)

Var #Description

## Ballot Proposals

State Measure 4

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 794,441 No = 412,341

**4****CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ESTABLISHES STATE  
LOTTERY, COMMISSION; PROFITS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

QUESTION: Shall a state lottery operated by commission be authorized to use profits to be used to create jobs and further economic development?

EXPLANATION: Constitutional amendment establishes state lottery commission to operate games other than bingo, parimutuel racing, gaming. Bans casinos. Profits to be used to create jobs, fund economic development. Requires 50% of proceeds to be paid in prizes. Limit to 16%. Requires legislature to lend \$1,800,000 to fund initial cost profits. If this and other constitutional initiative(s) authorizing only measure with most votes takes effect.

436

Vote Yes (For)

437

Vote No (Against)

438

Total Votes

439

Vote Yes (percent)

440

Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var #Description1984

Ballot Proposals

State Measure 5

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 786,933 No = 399,231

---

**STATUTORY PROVISIONS FOR STATE  
OPERATED LOTTERY IF CONSTITUTIONALLY AUTHORIZED**
**YES**


---

 Shall legislation be enacted to regulate state lottery, establish  
 ns for commission, director, retailers, vendors and contractors, if  
 nally authorized?

---

 ON: Measure regulating and providing for state operated lottery  
 effective if separate constitutional amendment passes. CONTAINS  
 TAILS NOT MENTIONED HERE. Requires legislature to lend  
 to fund initial costs, repaid from profits. Requires 50% of proceeds  
 d in prizes exempt from state taxes. Limits expenses to 16%.  
 s qualifications for lottery commissioners, director, lottery  
 endors and contractors. Provides for security, audits, and studies.  
 lay by minors.
**NO**

441

Vote Yes (For)

442

Vote No (Against)

443

Total Votes

444

Vote Yes (percent)

445

Vote No (percent)

Var #DescriptionBallot Proposals

State Measure 6

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 653,009 No = 521,687

---

**EXEMPTS DEATH SENTENCES FROM CONSTITUTIONAL  
GUARANTEES AGAINST CRUEL, VINDICTIVE PUNISHMENTS**
**YES**


---

 - Shall capital punishment for aggravated murder be exempted from  
 onstitutional prohibitions against cruel, unusual, disproportionate  
 - tive punishments?

---

 ON: Amends Oregon Constitution. Article I, section 15 requires that  
 for punishment of crime shall be founded on principles of  
 n and not vindictive justice; Article I, section 16 prohibits cruel,  
 and disproportionate punishments. The measure would exempt  
 d murder statutes requiring the death penalty on unanimous jury  
 om these constitutional guarantees. Where death was not imposed,  
 y would remain as life imprisonment with a mandatory minimum  
 y statute.
**NO**

446

Vote Yes (For)

447

Vote No (Against)

448

Total Votes

449

Vote Yes (percent)

450

Vote No (percent)

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var #Description1984

Ballot Proposals

State Measure 7

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 893,818 No = 295,988

**REQUIRES BY STATUTE DEATH OR  
MANDATORY IMPRISONMENT FOR AGGRAVATED MURDER**— Shall the penalty for aggravated murder be death under specified  
s, and be life imprisonment with a 30-year minimum otherwise? **YES**— **EXPLANATION:** Amends statutes. Requires that penalty for aggravated murder  
by lethal injection when unanimous jury finds beyond a reasonable  
t defendant acted deliberately with reasonable expectation that  
— ld result, is probably a continuing threat to society, and responded  
ably to any provocation by deceased. Requires Supreme Court  
— equires life imprisonment with 30-year minimum subject to Parole  
ew after 20 years in all other cases. **NO**

451

Vote Yes (For)

452

Vote No (Against)

453

Total Votes

454

Vote Yes (percent)

455

Vote No (percent)

Var #Description

Ballot Proposals

State Measure 8

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 552,410 No = 597,964

**8****REVISES NUMEROUS CRIMINAL LAWS CONCERNING  
POLICE POWERS, TRIALS, EVIDENCE, SENTENCING**QUESTION: Shall prosecutor's control over trial procedures be expanded, and  
major changes made in police powers, evidence, sentencing, parole, victim's  
role? **YES**EXPLANATION: NOTICE: THIS DESCRIPTION DOES NOT IDENTIFY ALL  
CHANGES PROPOSED TO CRIMINAL STATUTES. Gives prosecutors new or  
additional authority, including to compel jury trials, prevent dismissals after  
civil compromises, try multiple defendants jointly; repeals statutes regulating  
stops and searches of persons and statutes allowing challenges to illegally or  
unconstitutionally obtained evidence; gives victim role in trial scheduling,  
sentencing, parole; expands cross-examination on witness's prior  
convictions; regulates multiple and consecutive sentences; makes other  
changes. **NO**

456

Vote Yes (For)

457

Vote No (Against)

458

Total Votes

459

Vote Yes (percent)

460

Vote No (percent)

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var #Description1984

Ballot Proposals

State Measure 9

General Election: November 6, 1984

Yes = 655,973 No = 524,214

**9****ADDS REQUIREMENTS FOR DISPOSING WASTES CONTAINING  
NATURALLY OCCURRING RADIOACTIVE ISOTOPES****YES**

QUESTION: Should the Energy Facility Siting Council consider additional factors before approving sites for disposing wastes containing naturally occurring radioactive isotopes?

EXPLANATION: This measure would add to existing requirements by requiring the Energy Facility Siting Council to find, before approving a site for the disposal of wastes containing only naturally occurring radioactive isotopes, that the site is not subject to water erosion, earthquakes, volcanoes, or landslides; that there is no safer choice for such disposal; and that there will be no radioactive release from the waste.

**NO**

461

Vote Yes (For)

462

Vote No (Against)

463

Total Votes

464

Vote Yes (percent)

465

Vote No (percent)

Var #Description

Ballot Proposals

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Vote Yes (percent)

Vote No (percent)

State OREGONBALLOT PROPOSALSVar. #Description

1985

Ballot Proposals

State Measure 1

Special Election: September 17, 1985

Yes = 189,733 No = 664,365

**1 AMENDS CONSTITUTION.  
APPROVES LIMITED 5% SALES TAX  
FOR LOCAL EDUCATION.**

QUESTION—Shall people amend Constitution, approve limited sales tax partially replacing property taxes for schools, community colleges, reducing income, timber taxes?

EXPLANATION—Approves sales tax law to fund schools, community colleges, reducing income, property, timber taxes. Amends Constitution to limit sales tax to 5%, exempts home consumed food, medical services, drugs, utilities, real estate transactions, animals, certain farm supplies. Prohibits local sales taxes. Directs legislation for administrative costs, tax relief for renters and low income individuals, limiting state spending increases. Provides school district tax bases, limits annual increases and school levy elections. Makes other changes.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Vote Yes (percent)

Vote No (percent)

Var. #Description

1986

Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election, May 20, 1986

Yes = 534,476

No = 118,776

**STATE MEASURES**

No. 1—Constitutional Amendment: Bans Income Tax on Social Security Benefits; QUESTION—Shall the Oregon Constitution ban state or local income tax on social security or railroad retirement benefits? (Vote Yes or No)

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Vote Yes (Percent)

Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election: May 20, 1986

Yes = 333,277 No = 230,886

<b>No. 2—Constitutional Amendment: Effect of Merger of Taxing Units on Tax Base; QUESTION—Shall district tax base, after merger not increasing its territory, equal tax bases former districts would have had without merger? (Vote Yes or No)</b>	
--	--

476  
477  
478  
479  
480

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election: May 20, 1986

Yes = 460,148 No = 132,101

<b>No. 3—Constitutional Amendment: Verification of Signatures on Initiative and Referendum Petitions; QUESTION—Shall constitution direct the legislature to establish the method for Secretary of State verification of initiative and referendum petition signatures? (Vote Yes or No)</b>	
---	--

481  
482  
483  
484  
485

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)



State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description~~1986~~ Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election: May 20, 1986

Yes = 434,005      No = 269,305

No. 4—Requires Special Election for US Senator Vacancy, Removes Constitutional Provision; QUESTION—Shall special election fill United States Senator vacancy, constitutional provision allowing appointment by Governor until next general election be deleted? (Vote Yes or No)

486  
487  
488  
489  
490

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description~~1986~~ Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election: May 20, 1986

Yes = 300,674      No = 330,429

No. 5—Constitutional Amendment: \$96 Million Bonds for State-County Prison Buildings; QUESTION—Shall the constitution allow \$96 million state bond debt and additional county debts to establish joint state-county prisons? (Vote Yes or No)

491  
492  
493  
494  
495

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 771,559 No = 265,999

**1.****DELETED CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENT THAT  
SECRETARY OF STATE LIVE IN SALEM****QUESTION:** Shall the constitutional requirement that the Secretary of State live in Salem be deleted?**YES****EXPLANATION:** Constitutional amendment. The present provision requires the Governor, Secretary of State and State Treasurer to keep their official records, books and papers "at the seat of government." It also requires the Secretary of State, but not the Governor or Treasurer, to live "at the seat of government." This measure removes the requirement that the Secretary of State live in Salem, without changing the requirement that records must be kept there.**NO**496  
497  
498  
499  
500Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 637,410 No = 291,355

**2.****CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT REVISING LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT  
REAPPORTIONMENT PROCEDURES AFTER FEDERAL CENSUS****QUESTION:** Shall legislative district reapportionment procedures after federal census be changed, legislator recall and residence provisions immediately after reapportionment be modified?**YES****EXPLANATION:** Constitutional amendment. Keeps present requirement that legislature reapportions legislative districts after federal census, and if not, Secretary of State does so. Changes time periods for review by Supreme Court and revisions by Secretary of State of new apportionment. Secretary of State must hold public hearing. Voters of new district may recall holdover senator assigned to district. At first election after reapportionment, legislative candidates must have lived in district since January 1, shortened from one year.**NO**501  
502  
503  
504  
505Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 736,739 No = 302,957

**3. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ALLOWS CHARITABLE, FRATERNAL, RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS TO CONDUCT RAFFLES****YES****QUESTION:** Shall constitution allow charitable, fraternal and religious organizations, including foundations, to hold raffles as well as bingo and lotto games?**EXPLANATION:** Constitution now bans lotteries except state lottery. It permits charitable, fraternal and religious organizations exempt from federal income tax to hold bingo and lotto games. Amendment adds foundations with same purposes to those eligible. It also allows such organizations to hold raffles. Requirement of exemption from federal income tax is removed. Legislature must define eligible organizations. It may prescribe frequency of games, set top limit on prizes, and require a statement of odds against winning.**NO**506  
507  
508  
509  
510Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Creates Public Utility Commission

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 724,577 No = 297,973

**4. REPLACES PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIONER WITH THREE MEMBER PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION****QUESTION:** Shall three member Public Utility Commission be created to replace and take on all duties of the Public Utility Commissioner?**EXPLANATION:** Statutory amendment. The measure creates a three member Public Utility Commission to replace the present single Public Utility Commissioner. Its members will be appointed by the Governor for four year terms, but first appointees will serve staggered terms. Senate confirms. Governor may remove. No more than two members may be in one political party. The new commission will take on all of the duties, functions and powers of the present commissioner. Effective April 1, 1987.**YES****NO****ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** This measure would create a three-member Public Utility Commission appointed by the Governor with Senate confirmation. The recurring annual cost for the addition of two new commissioners and staffing would be approximately \$300,000.511  
512  
513  
514  
515Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Legalizes Marijuana

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 279,479 No = 781,922

**5.****LEGALIZES PRIVATE POSSESSION AND  
GROWING OF MARIJUANA FOR PERSONAL USE****YES****QUESTION:** Shall law forbid permits, licenses and criminal penalties for possessing or growing marijuana for personal use?**EXPLANATION:** This measure would enact a new Oregon law. The law would bar subjecting persons 18 years or older to criminal penalties or to fines or forfeitures, or to permit or license requirements, for private possession or growing of marijuana solely for personal use. The law would create a defense to criminal charges under ORS 161.055. The measure provides that if a court declares part of the new law invalid, the rest of the law in unaffected.**NO**516  
517  
518  
519  
520Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 477,920 No = 580,163

**6.****CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROHIBITS STATE FUNDING ABORTIONS.  
EXCEPTION: PREVENT MOTHER'S DEATH****QUESTION:** Shall Oregon Constitution be amended to prohibit using state monies to fund abortions except to prevent death of the mother?**EXPLANATION:** The measure would amend the Oregon Constitution by adding to Article IX the following new section:

Section 9: State monies must not be used to fund abortions, except to prevent the death of the mother.

**YES****ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** Abortions funded by the state cost an average of \$200 each. Medical expenses for each birth funded by the state are \$2,140. There were 1,224 state-funded abortions in the past year. Passage of this measure would mean a General Fund savings of \$243,833 in medical payments not used for abortions. General Fund cost for 1,224 state-funded deliveries would mean an increase in expenditures of approximately \$2.6 million, assuming 100 percent of previous abortion cases would carry the pregnancy to term. The net financial effect, after accounting for savings for abortions not performed, would be an increase in General Fund expenditures of about \$2.4 million per year. Factors such as number of cases which may find private funding for abortion or abortions performed under the medical exception provision in the measure cannot be determined but may affect the eventual financial impact of the measure.**NO**521 Vote Yes (For)  
522 Vote No (Against)  
523 Total Votes  
524 Vote Yes (percent)  
525 Vote No (percent)

---

**6. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROHIBITS STATE FUNDING ABORTIONS.  
EXCEPTION: PREVENT MOTHER'S DEATH**

**QUESTION:** Shall Oregon Constitution be amended to prohibit using state monies to fund abortions except to prevent death of the mother?

**EXPLANATION:** The measure would amend the Oregon Constitution by adding to Article IX the following new section:

Section 9: State monies must not be used to fund abortions, except to prevent the death of the mother.

**YES**

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** Abortions funded by the state cost an average of \$200 each. Medical expenses for each birth funded by the state are \$2,140. There were 1,224 state-funded abortions in the past year. Passage of this measure would mean a General Fund savings of \$243,833 in medical payments not used for abortions. General Fund cost for 1,224 state-funded deliveries would mean an increase in expenditures of approximately \$2.6 million, assuming 100 percent of previous abortion cases would carry the pregnancy to term. The net financial effect, after accounting for savings for abortions not performed, would be an increase in General Fund expenditures of about \$2.4 million per year. Factors such as number of cases which may find private funding for abortion or abortions performed under the medical exception provision in the measure cannot be determined but may affect the eventual financial impact of the measure.

---

**NO**

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #

Description

**7.****CONSTITUTIONAL 5% SALES TAX FUNDS SCHOOLS,  
REDUCES PROPERTY TAX**

**QUESTION:** Shall 5% sales tax funding schools, reducing some property taxes, limiting property tax rates, providing renter's relief, be imposed?

**EXPLANATION:** Constitutional amendment requires 5% sales, use tax on tangible personal property, with low-income rebate. Provides exemptions. Prohibits similar local taxes. Requires state school, community college support at 1985-87 proportion of state budget, plus 70% of net sales tax revenue. 30% net revenue reduces property tax on owner-occupied principle residences. Provides equivalent renter's relief. Limits property tax rates. Continues homeowner, renter relief at 1983 levels. Reimburses tax collectors' collection costs.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** An estimate of the financial effect of this measure requires explicit assumptions about the consumption goods subject to the tax. Depending upon the items the legislature decides to exempt from taxation (including those items specifically exempted by the measure), the sales tax is expected to raise between \$850 million and \$1 billion in fiscal year 1988-89. Assuming total annual collections of approximately \$850 million, the expected use of revenues would be as follows:

Approximately \$19 million (assuming a 2 percent discount) will be used to repay business people for collecting the sales tax;

Approximately \$12 million will be used to pay the state's cost to collect and disburse the tax;

Approximately \$12.5 million will be used to refund the tax paid by "lower income persons" (assumed to be families with a total income of \$17,500 or less);

About \$835 million (or 70 percent of net revenues) will be distributed to school districts and community colleges. In addition to these funds, the state is to appropriate 28.2% of the General Fund budget to the basic school support fund and 3.4% to community college operations. In 1985-87, these amounts were \$945 million and \$116.3 million respectively.

\$230 Million will be used for property tax relief on owner-occupied residences and \$41.5 million will be used for payments to renters. These two amounts are approximately 30% of net estimated revenues from the sales tax.

Costs for the Senior Citizen Property Tax Deferral Program will decrease by approximately \$11 million in fiscal year 1988-89. Costs for the Homeowner and Renter Refund Program (HARRP) will decrease by \$1.5 million in 1988-89 and \$5 million in 1989-90.

**YES****NO**1986 Ballot Proposals

Imposition of Constitutional Sales Tax  
General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 234,804

No = 816,369

526

Vote Yes (For)

527

Vote No (Against)

528

Total Votes

529

Vote Yes (percent)

530

Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #

Description

1986 Ballot Proposals

Telephone Service Prohibition

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 802,099

No = 201,918

**8.****PROHIBITS MANDATORY LOCAL MEASURED TELEPHONE  
SERVICE EXCEPT MOBILE PHONE SERVICE**

**QUESTION:** Shall Public Utility Commissioner be prohibited from requiring local measured telephone service except for land, marine or air mobile service?

**YES**

**EXPLANATION:** Proposed law prohibits Public Utility Commissioner from requiring telephone customers to pay for local exchange service on a mandatory measured service basis. "Measured service" means charging for local service based upon number, length, distance or time of calls, or combination thereof. Mandatory measured service for land, marine, air mobile phone service or local exchange service resold at a profit is not prohibited. Commissioner may not take action, including local exchange boundary changes, circumventing this Act.

**NO**

531

Vote Yes (For)

532

Vote No (Against)

533

Total Votes

534

Vote Yes (percent)

535

Vote No (percent)

**7.****CONSTITUTIONAL 5% SALES TAX FUNDS SCHOOLS,  
REDUCES PROPERTY TAX**

**QUESTION:** Shall 5% sales tax funding schools, reducing some property taxes, limiting property tax rates, providing renter's relief, be imposed?

**EXPLANATION:** Constitutional amendment requires 5% sales, use tax on tangible personal property, with low-income rebate. Provides exemptions. Prohibits similar local taxes. Requires state school, community college support at 1985-87 proportion of state budget, plus 70% of net sales tax revenue. 30% net revenue reduces property tax on owner-occupied principal residences. Provides equivalent renter's relief. Limits property tax rates. Continues homeowner, renter relief at 1983 levels. Reimburses tax collectors' collection costs.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** An estimate of the financial effect of this measure requires explicit assumptions about the consumption goods subject to the tax. Depending upon the items the legislature decides to exempt from taxation (including those items specifically exempted by the measure), the sales tax is expected to raise between \$850 million and \$1 billion in fiscal year 1988-89. Assuming total annual collections of approximately \$950 million, the expected use of revenues would be as follows:

**YES**

Approximately \$19 million (assuming a 2 percent discount) will be used to repay business people for collecting the sales tax;

**NO**

Approximately \$12 million will be used to pay the state's cost to collect and disburse the tax;

Approximately \$12.5 million will be used to refund the tax paid by "lower income persons" (assumed to be families with a total income of \$17,500 or less);

About, \$635 million (or 70 percent of net revenues) will be distributed to school districts and community colleges. In addition to these funds, the state is to appropriate 28.2% of the General Fund budget to the basic school support fund and 3.4% to community college operations. In 1985-87, these amounts were \$945 million and \$116.3 million respectively.

\$230 Million will be used for property tax relief on owner-occupied residences and \$41.5 million will be used for payments to renters. These two amounts are approximately 30% of net estimated revenues from the sales tax.

Costs for the Senior Citizen Property Tax Deferral Program will decrease by approximately \$11 million in fiscal year 1988-89. Costs for the Homeowner and Renter Refund Program (HARRP) will decrease by \$1.5 million in 1988-89 and \$5 million in 1989-90.

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 449,548

No = 584,396

**9.****AMENDS CONSTITUTION. LIMITS PROPERTY TAX RATES  
AND ASSESSED VALUE INCREASES****QUESTION:** Shall constitution set maximum property tax rates (new or increased rates require voter approval), and limit assessed property value increases?**EXPLANATION:** Replaces Oregon Constitution, Article XI, section 11. Sets maximum property tax rate for 1987 (lesser of 2% assessed value or July, 1985 rate) and following years (lesser of 1½% assessed value or July, 1985 rate). New or increased property tax rate requires approval by majority of voters voting, with two annual elections permitted. Specifies exemptions. Limits annual assessed value increase to 2%. Distributes 1987 property tax revenues to taxing units in same proportions as 1986.**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** The impact of the passage of this measure is based upon existing laws and appropriation levels in effect on August 6, 1986. In addition to a revenue impact on local governmental units, passage of this measure will have the following financial impact on state government:

Homeowner and Renter Refund Program (HARRP) will not decrease in 1987, but, because of the reduction in total property taxes, HARRP costs will decrease by approximately \$1 million in fiscal year 1988-89 and by approximately \$1.8 million in 1989-90.

Costs for the Senior Citizen Property Tax Deferral Program will decrease by approximately \$4 million in 1987-88 and \$6.5 million in 1988-89.

A decrease in property taxes means less personal income tax deductions. This means personal income taxes would increase. Corporate income taxes are estimated to increase by approximately \$2 million in 1987-88 and \$3.5 million in 1988-89, and personal income taxes will increase approximately \$11.5 million in 1987-88 and \$20 million in 1988-89.

YES

NO

536  
537  
538  
539  
540Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Criminal Laws Revision

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 774,766

No = 251,509

**10. REVISES MANY CRIMINAL LAWS CONCERNING VICTIMS' RIGHTS,  
EVIDENCE, SENTENCING, PAROLE****QUESTION:** Shall crime victims' rights and role in criminal justice process be expanded, and changes made in prosecution, evidence, sentencing, parole?**EXPLANATION:** Protects victims from pretrial contact by criminal defendant. Bars excluding victim from courtroom during trial. Gives victim role in trial scheduling, sentencing, parole. Requires joint trial of jointly charged defendants unless "clearly inappropriate." Limits sentence merger for multiple crimes. Sets consecutive sentences rules. Gives state, defendant same number of jury challenges. Expands witness's prior conviction cross-examination. Expands victims' compensation rights. Requires that parole last entire term of sentence. Defines "victim" broadly. Makes other changes.**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** Passage of this measure will increase, by between \$2 million and \$4 million, the annual recurring costs for the state court system and the costs for prosecution, defense and parole supervision.

YES

NO

541  
542  
543  
544  
545Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)



**9.****AMENDS CONSTITUTION. LIMITS PROPERTY TAX RATES  
AND ASSESSED VALUE INCREASES**

**QUESTION:** Shall constitution set maximum property tax rates (new or increased rates require voter approval), and limit assessed property value increases?

**EXPLANATION:** Replaces Oregon Constitution, Article XI, section 11. Sets maximum property tax rate for 1987 (lesser of 2% assessed value or July, 1985 rate) and following years (lesser of 1½% assessed value or July, 1985 rate). New or increased property tax rate requires approval by majority of voters voting, with two annual elections permitted. Specifies exemptions. Limits annual assessed value increase to 2%. Distributes 1987 property tax revenues to taxing units in same proportions as 1986.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** The impact of the passage of this measure is based upon existing laws and appropriation levels in effect on August 6, 1986. In addition to a revenue impact on local governmental units, passage of this measure will have the following financial impact on state government:

**YES**

Homeowner and Renter Refund Program (HARRP) will not decrease in 1987, but, because of the reduction in total property taxes, HARRP costs will decrease by approximately \$1 million in fiscal year 1988-89 and by approximately \$1.8 million in 1989-90.

**NO**

Costs for the Senior Citizen Property Tax Deferral Program will decrease by approximately \$4 million in 1987-88 and \$6.5 million in 1988-89.

A decrease in property taxes means less personal income tax deductions. This means personal income taxes would increase. Corporate income taxes are estimated to increase by approximately \$2 million in 1987-88 and \$3.5 million in 1988-89, and personal income taxes will increase approximately \$11.5 million in 1987-88 and \$20 million in 1988-89.

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #

Description

1986 Ballot Proposals

Property Tax Relief

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 381,727 No = 639,034

**11. HOMEOWNER'S, RENTER'S PROPERTY TAX RELIEF PROGRAM;  
SALES TAX LIMITATION MEASURE**

**QUESTION:** Shall constitution exempt part of owner's home value from property tax, require renter's relief, bar sales tax except by initiative.

**EXPLANATION:** Constitutional tax exemption of \$25,000 or half of assessed value of owner's home, whichever is less. Exemption adjusted yearly for statewide home assessed value increases. Requires equivalent renter's relief. Values to determine bonding limits and state school aid unchanged. State pays local governments at least 60% of lost taxes. Tax relief partly funded from lottery proceeds, not more than 75% from single tax. Supplements present property tax relief programs. Bars sales tax, except by initiative.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** The impact of the passage of this measure is based on existing laws and appropriation levels in effect on August 6, 1986. Passage of this measure will have the following financial impact on state government:

The state will pay to local tax units approximately \$274 million in fiscal year 1987-88 to cover at least 80 percent of the decrease in property tax revenues resulting from the homestead exemption.

The state will make payments to renters of approximately \$80 million in 1987-88.

Major funding for the property tax and renter relief payments is expected to come from state income taxes. Lottery proceeds currently dedicated to economic development will be a minor funding source for the homestead exemption, in the range of \$4 million to \$20 million annually (10 to 50 percent of net lottery proceeds).

There are secondary effects of this measure on the state budget. A decrease in property taxes means less personal income tax deductions. This means personal income taxes would increase approximately \$20 million in 1987-88. Revenues from corporate income taxes will decrease slightly. Costs for the Senior Citizen Property Tax Deferral Program will decrease by approximately \$7.5 million in 1987-88. Homeowner and Renter Refund Program (HARRP) costs will decrease by \$3.5 million in 1987-88 and by \$6 million in 1988-89.

YES

NO

546

547

548

549

550

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #

Description

1986 Ballot Proposals

State Income Tax Changes

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 299,551 No = 720,034

**12. STATE INCOME TAX CHANGES,  
INCREASED REVENUE TO PROPERTY TAX RELIEF**

**QUESTION:** Shall low bracket personal, corporate income tax rates be reduced, higher bracket rates increased, increased revenue provide property tax relief?

**EXPLANATION:** Measure reduces state tax rates for low taxable personal income. Rates for higher taxable income increased to maximum 15% of amount over \$11,000 for individual taxpayer. (Top rate now 10% for amount over \$5,000.) Reduces federal, foreign country income tax adjustments from \$7,000 to \$5,000 on joint return. Changes corporate excise tax from 7.5% flat rate to graduated 5.5% to 10.5% rates. Changes depreciation deductions. Increased revenues provide homeowner, renter property tax relief.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** The impact of the passage of this measure is based upon existing laws and appropriation levels in effect on August 6, 1986. Passage of this measure will have the following financial impact on state government:

Personal income taxes will increase approximately \$255 million and corporate income taxes will increase by approximately \$38 million in fiscal year 1987-88.

551

552

553

554

555

YES

NO

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

# 11. HOMEOWNER'S, RENTER'S PROPERTY TAX RELIEF PROGRAM; SALES TAX LIMITATION MEASURE

**QUESTION:** Shall constitution exempt part of owner's home value from property tax, require renter's relief, bar sales tax except by initiative.

**EXPLANATION:** Constitutional tax exemption of \$25,000 or half of assessed value of owner's home, whichever is less. Exemption adjusted yearly for statewide home assessed value increases. Requires equivalent renter's relief. Values to determine bonding limits and state school aid unchanged. State pays local governments at least 80% of lost taxes. Tax relief partly funded from lottery proceeds, not more than 75% from single tax. Supplements present property tax relief programs. Bars sales tax, except by initiative.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** The impact of the passage of this measure is based on existing laws and appropriation levels in effect on August 6, 1986. Passage of this measure will have the following financial impact on state government:

**YES**

The state will pay to local tax units approximately \$274 million in fiscal year 1987-88 to cover at least 80 percent of the decrease in property tax revenues resulting from the homestead exemption.

The state will make payments to renters of approximately \$60 million in 1987-88.

**NO**

Major funding for the property tax and renter relief payments is expected to come from state income taxes. Lottery proceeds currently dedicated to economic development will be a minor funding source for the homestead exemption, in the range of \$4 million to \$20 million annually (10 to 50 percent of net lottery proceeds).

There are secondary effects of this measure on the state budget. A decrease in property taxes means less personal income tax deductions. This means personal income taxes would increase approximately \$20 million in 1987-88. Revenues from corporate income taxes will decrease slightly. Costs for the Senior Citizen Property Tax Deferral Program will decrease by approximately \$7.5 million in 1987-88. Homeowner and Renter Refund Program (HARRP) costs will decrease by \$3.5 million in 1987-88 and by \$6 million in 1988-89.

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Constitutional Amendment

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 693,460 No = 343,450

**13.****CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT: TWENTY DAY PRE-ELECTION  
VOTER REGISTRATION CUTOFF****YES****QUESTION:** Shall state constitution require a voter to be registered at least twenty days before an election in order to vote?**EXPLANATION:** Measure amends voter qualification section of Oregon Constitution. Requires a person to be registered to vote at least twenty days before an election to be allowed to vote in that election. Constitution does not now set a deadline for voter registration before an election.**NO**556  
557  
558  
559  
560Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Nuclear Power Plant Operation Prohibition

General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 375,241 No = 674,641

**14.****PROHIBITS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT OPERATION  
UNTIL PERMANENT WASTE SITE LICENSED****YES****QUESTION:** Shall all Oregon nuclear power plant operations be prohibited until the federal government licenses a permanent radioactive waste disposal site?**EXPLANATION:** (1) This measure prohibits all Oregon nuclear power plant operation until the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council finds that a federally licensed high level radioactive waste disposal site is available to immediately accept plant waste for permanent disposal. Waste retrieval option for reprocessing is not required. (2) If legislature declares an emergency need for electricity not available from other resources, including conservation, temporary suspension or repeal of part (1) of this measure must be referred to voters.**NO**561  
562  
563  
564  
565Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

"Radioactive Waste" Definition Supersession  
General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 424,099      No = 558,741

**15.****SUPERSEDES "RADIOACTIVE WASTE" DEFINITION;  
CHANGES ENERGY FACILITY STUDY PAYMENT PROCEDURE**

**QUESTION:** Shall new legal definition of "radioactive waste" supersede present definition; process for applicant paying facility site study costs be changed?

**EXPLANATION:** Measure supersedes existing law defining "radioactive waste." It deletes from definition reference to Energy Facility Siting Council rules identifying materials posing no significant danger, adds to definition wastes generated before June 1, 1981 in producing zirconium, hafnium or niobium, containing specified quantities of radium 226, and adds uranium mine and mill wastes as defined by federal law. Removes need for advance agreement by energy facility applicant before charging applicant facility study costs exceeding application fee.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** There are three landfills in Oregon with radioactive waste that would fall under the revised definition in the initiative petition. The Department of Energy would oversee the remedial action that would be required at those sites. ODOE's costs are estimated to be approximately \$100,000 for each site. Those costs would be recovered by charging site certificate fees.

YES

NO

566  
567  
568  
569  
570

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot Proposals

Nuclear Weapons Manufacture Phase-Out  
General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 400,119      No = 590,971

**16.****PHASES OUT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MANUFACTURE WITH  
TAX CREDITS, CIVIL PENALTY**

**QUESTION:** Shall nuclear weapons manufacturers changing to consumer production receive tax credits, nuclear weapons production subjected to civil penalty starting 1990?

**EXPLANATION:** Proposed law creates tax credits for businesses retraining employees or making capital investments to change from making nuclear weapons or parts to making consumer products. Tax credit is the lesser of 30% of the certified conversion costs or all tax liability for three successive years. Manufacture of nuclear weapons or parts prohibited and subject to civil penalty (maximum \$5,000 per day) beginning 1990, but contracts in effect before this Act passes could be completed.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** Passage of this measure will decrease income tax (corporate and personal) revenue beginning with tax year 1987, but the major impact is not likely until 1990. It is impossible to develop an accurate estimate of the revenue decrease. A tax credit is subtracted from the computed tax liability. The number of persons or firms that will use this credit and the amount of their conversion expenditures are not known at this time. A subjective estimate of the range of Oregon's income tax revenue decrease is between \$500,000 and \$1 million.

YES

NO

571  
572  
573  
574  
575

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

---

**15.****SUPERSEDES "RADIOACTIVE WASTE" DEFINITION;  
CHANGES ENERGY FACILITY STUDY PAYMENT PROCEDURE**

**QUESTION:** Shall new legal definition of "radioactive waste" supersede present definition; process for applicant paying facility site study costs be changed?

**EXPLANATION:** Measure supersedes existing law defining "radioactive waste." It deletes from definition reference to Energy Facility Siting Council rules identifying materials posing no significant danger, adds to definition wastes generated before June 1, 1981 in producing zirconium, hafnium or niobium, containing specified quantities of radium 226, and adds uranium mine and mill wastes as defined by federal law. Removes need for advance agreement by energy facility applicant before charging applicant facility study costs exceeding application fee.

**YES****NO**

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** There are three landfills in Oregon with radioactive waste that would fall under the revised definition in the initiative petition. The Department of Energy would oversee the remedial action that would be required at those sites. ODOE's costs are estimated to be approximately \$100,000 for each site. Those costs would be recovered by charging site certificate fees.

---

**16.****PHASES OUT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MANUFACTURE WITH  
TAX CREDITS, CIVIL PENALTY**

**QUESTION:** Shall nuclear weapons manufacturers changing to consumer production receive tax credits, nuclear weapons production subjected to civil penalty starting 1990?

**EXPLANATION:** Proposed law creates tax credits for businesses retraining employees or making capital investments to change from making nuclear weapons or parts to making consumer products. Tax credit is the lesser of 30% of the certified conversion costs or all tax liability for three successive years. Manufacture of nuclear weapons or parts prohibited and subject to civil penalty (maximum \$5,000 per day) beginning 1990, but contracts in effect before this Act passes could be completed.

**YES**

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT:** Passage of this measure will decrease income tax (corporate and personal) revenue beginning with tax year 1987, but the major impact is not likely until 1990. It is impossible to develop an accurate estimate of the revenue decrease. A tax credit is subtracted from the computed tax liability. The number of persons or firms that will use this credit and the amount of their conversion expenditures are not known at this time. A subjective estimate of the range of Oregon's income tax revenue decrease is between \$500,000 and \$1 million.

**NO**

## State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

<u>Variable #</u>	<u>Description</u>
576	Vote Yes (For)
577	Vote No (Against)
578	Total Votes
579	Vote Yes (percent)
580	Vote No (percent)
	Legislative Referral, Primary
	Election: May 17, 1988.
	YES = 485,629      NO = 191,008

## House Joint Resolution 45

AUTHORIZES WATER DEVELOPMENT FUND LOANS FOR FISH  
PROTECTION, WATERSHED RESTORATION

QUESTION: Shall fish protection and watershed restoration be added as projects that constitutionally may be financed by Water Development Fund loans?

EXPLANATION: Referred by legislature. Amends Oregon Constitution Article XI-I. Allows Water Development Fund, previously created by state borrowing, to finance loans to state residents for fish protection and watershed restoration projects. Does not change present authority to finance water development projects for irrigation, drainage, municipal uses and for acquiring related easements and rights of way, or requirement of secured repayment of loans. Continues to reserve at least 50 percent of Fund for irrigation and drainage loans.

<u>Variable #</u>	<u>Description</u>
581	Vote Yes (For)
582	Vote No (Against)
583	Total Votes
584	Vote Yes (percent)
585	Vote No (percent)
	Legislative Referral, Primary
	Election: May 17, 1988.
	YES = 486,401      NO = 224,655

## House Bill 2581

PROTECTIVE HEADGEAR FOR MOTORCYCLE OPERATORS  
AND PASSENGERS AND MOPED RIDERS

QUESTION: Shall law require motorcycle operators and passengers and moped riders to wear protective headgear?

EXPLANATION: Measure referred by legislature. Motorcycle operator and passenger and moped rider commit offenses if they ride without wearing protective headgear. Motorcycle operator commits offense if passenger not wearing protective headgear. Measure classifies offenses as Class C traffic infractions, except motorcycle passenger's failure to wear protective headgear, which is not classified by measure. Exempts persons in inclosed cab or operating or riding three-wheeled vehicle at less than 15 miles per hour. Forbids passengers on mopeds.

## State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

<u>Variable #</u>	<u>Description</u>	
586	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment, General
587	Vote No (Against)	
588	Total Votes	Election: November 8, 1988.
589	Vote Yes (percent)	
590	Vote No (percent)	YES = 615,012      NO = 520,939

**BALLOT TITLE**

<b>1</b>	<b>EXTENDS GOVERNOR'S VETO DEADLINE AFTER LEGISLATURE ADJOURNS; REQUIRES PRIOR ANNOUNCEMENT</b>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
	QUESTION—Shall constitutional deadline for Governor's veto after legislature adjourns be extended and Governor required to announce possible intent to veto?	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
	EXPLANATION—Constitutional amendment referred by legislature. Extends Governor's deadline for vetoing bill after legislature adjourns from 20 to 30 days after adjournment. Maintains five day deadline for vetoing bills presented to Governor more than five days before adjournment. Requires Governor to announce publicly, at least five days before vetoing a bill after adjournment, Governor's "possible intention" to veto, but does not require veto of bill after announcement. Excludes Saturdays as well as Sundays in computing deadlines.	

<u>Variable #</u>	<u>Description</u>	
591	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment, General
592	Vote No (Against)	
593	Total Votes	Election: November 8, 1988.
594	Vote Yes (percent)	
595	Vote No (percent)	YES = 621,894      NO = 510,694

<b>2</b>	<b>COMMON SCHOOL FUND INVESTMENTS; USING INCOME FOR STATE LANDS MANAGEMENT</b>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
	QUESTION—Shall Oregon Constitution allow Common School Fund investment in stocks, and payment of state lands management expenses from investment income?	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
	EXPLANATION—Constitutional amendment referred by legislature. Allows state to invest Common School Fund moneys in corporate stocks. Allows State Land Board to apply, as it considers appropriate, income from Common School Fund investments to expenses of managing state lands. Requires that remainder of Common School Fund investment income be applied to supporting primary and secondary education as "prescribed by law." Constitution now bans such stock investment and requires use of all investment income for education support.	
	ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT—In the short run, there will be a slight decrease or leveling off of Common School Fund income being distributed to schools. In the long run, there will be significant gain in income being distributed to schools. It is estimated that by the end of 12 years, the principal of the Common School Fund would grow by over 77% and the distribution to schools would grow by over 16%.	



## State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
596	Vote Yes (For)	Legislative Referral, General
597	Vote No (Against)	
598	Total Votes	Election: November 8, 1988.
599	Vote Yes (percent)	
600	Vote No (percent)	YES = 528,324 NO = 684,747

**BALLOT TITLE****3 REQUIRES THE USE OF SAFETY BELTS**

**QUESTION**—Shall law, effective December 8, 1988, require safety belt use by motor vehicle drivers and passengers over 16?

YES ☐NO ☐

**EXPLANATION**—Referred to voters. Approval enacts law requiring that motor vehicle drivers wear safety belts and secure passengers under 16 with safety belts, harnesses, or small child safety systems. Passengers over 16 must secure themselves. Requires vehicle owners to keep seatbelts in working order. Driver, owner, passenger violations are Class D traffic infractions. Provides exemptions. Law takes effect December 8, 1988. Voter rejection means prior law, requiring safety restraints only for passengers under 16, is effective.

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
601	Vote Yes (For)	Initiative Petition, General
602	Vote No (Against)	
603	Total Votes	Election: November 8, 1988.
604	Vote Yes (percent)	
605	Vote No (percent)	YES = 947,805 NO = 252,985

**4 REQUIRES FULL SENTENCES WITHOUT PAROLE, PROBATION FOR CERTAIN REPEAT FELONIES**

**QUESTION**—Shall law be amended to require certain repeat felony offenders to serve full sentences without parole, probation or other reduction?

YES ☐NO ☐

**EXPLANATION**—Amends criminal sentencing laws. Eliminates "indeterminate sentences," probation and parole for persons convicted of any of the following class of crimes after previous conviction of any crime in the class: murder; and first degree manslaughter, assault, kidnapping, rape, sodomy, sexual penetration with foreign object, burglary, arson and robbery. Such offenders must serve their entire sentences without temporary leave, reduction for "good behavior" or prison employment. Affects crimes committed on or after January 1, 1990.

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT**—Assuming continuation of current judicial sentencing practices, this measure would require construction of additional correction facilities at an estimated cost of between \$176 and \$184 million, depending on costs of land acquisition and utilities. The annual operating cost for needed facilities is estimated to be approximately \$35 million.

## State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

<u>Variable #</u>	<u>Description</u>
606	Vote Yes (For)
607	Vote No (Against)
608	Total Votes
609	Vote Yes (percent)
610	Vote No (percent)
	Election: November 8, 1988.
	YES = 449,797      NO = 759,360

### 5 FINANCES INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETIC FUND BY INCREASING MALT BEVERAGE, CIGARETTE TAXES

QUESTION—Shall taxes on malt beverages (such as beer) and cigarettes be increased in order to finance an Intercollegiate Athletic Fund?

EXPLANATION—Amends Oregon statutes. Increases malt beverage (beer, ale, etc.) tax by 10 cents per gallon. Prohibits further increases in malt beverage tax until after December 1999. Increases cigarette tax by one cent per 20 cigarette package. Money from increased taxes goes into new Intercollegiate Athletic Fund to assist sports programs, profitable or not, at state colleges, universities. State Board of Higher Education divides Fund money based on athletic conferences in which schools compete, and schools' efforts to get private help for sports programs.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT—The increase in the tax rate on beer and other malt beverages would raise an estimated \$6.0 million next year for the Intercollegiate Athletic Fund. The increase in the tax rate on cigarettes would raise an estimated \$2.8 million next year for the Intercollegiate Athletic Fund.

An expected decline in sales of cigarettes and malt beverages due to the tax rate increases for the Intercollegiate Athletic Fund would reduce the revenue to state and local government recipients by an estimated \$300,000.

YES ☐NO ☐Variable #

611	Vote Yes (For)	Initiative Petition, General
612	Vote No (Against)	
613	Total Votes	Election: November 8, 1988.
614	Vote Yes (percent)	
615	Vote No (percent)	YES = 430,147      NO = 737,779

### 6 INDOOR CLEAN AIR LAW REVISIONS BANNING PUBLIC SMOKING

QUESTION—Shall law restricting public smoking be expanded to forbid smoking in most indoor, enclosed workplaces and places serving the public?

EXPLANATION—Revises current Indoor Clean Air law that forbids smoking in some public places. Forbids smoking areas in most indoor, enclosed workplaces and in most places serving public. Excepts bars, hotel rooms, tobacco shops, and home workplaces not used by public. Requires person in charge of place where law applies to ask smoker to stop smoking or leave. Requires Health Division to enforce law. Forbids discrimination against workers reporting violations or requesting enforcement of law.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT—It is expected that the initial cost of this measure to the state Health Division for providing consulting services and responding to inquiries would be \$58,000 annually. Annual costs would decline after the first three years.

YES ☐NO ☐

## State OREGON

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
616	Vote Yes (For)	Initiative Petition, General
617	Vote No (Against)	
618	Total Votes	Election: November 8, 1988.
619	Vote Yes (percent)	
620	Vote No (percent)	YES = 663,604      NO = 516,998

### **7 OREGON SCENIC WATERWAY SYSTEM**

**QUESTION**—Shall Oregon law designate more river sections as scenic waterways?

YES ☐

**EXPLANATION**—Adds more river sections to the "scenic waterways" system established by existing state law. Effect is to designate as scenic waterways new sections of four previously designated waterways (Clackamas, Deschutes, John Day, Rogue) and seven new river sections (parts of Elk, Klamath, McKenzie, Metolius, Nestucca, Umpqua, Wallowa-Grande Ronde). Designation as scenic waterway protects scenic, fishery, wildlife and recreation values along river. Prohibits dams and placer mining. Sets standards for development within ¼ mile of waterway. Does not affect Indian trust lands and tribal rights.

NO ☐

**ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECT** — It is anticipated that in order to administer the 11 new rivers placed under Scenic Waterways, one additional planner would be added to the staff of the Parks and Recreation Division of the Oregon Department of Transportation, at an estimated annual cost of \$38,000. In addition, management plans for the new rivers would be necessary to evaluate and condition land use actions. The estimated one-time cost for developing such plans, using existing data, would be approximately \$34,000.

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
621	Vote Yes (For)	Initiative Petition, General
622	Vote No (Against)	
623	Total Votes	Election: November 8, 1988.
624	Vote Yes (percent)	
625	Vote No (percent)	YES = 626,751      NO = 561,355

### **BALLOT TITLE**

### **8 REVOKES BAN ON SEXUAL ORIENTATION DISCRIMINATION IN STATE EXECUTIVE BRANCH**

**QUESTION**—Shall voters revoke Governor's authority to ban discrimination, based on sexual orientation, in state executive department employment and services?

YES ☐

**EXPLANATION**—Enacts new law. Revokes Governor's order which bans discrimination, based on sexual orientation, both in executive branch employment and in carrying out executive branch duties within state government. Measure provides that no state official shall forbid taking personnel action against a state employee because of the employee's sexual orientation. Measure permits state officials to forbid taking personnel actions against state employees based on nonjob related factors. For the purposes of this measure, sexual orientation means heterosexuality, homosexuality, or bisexuality.

NO ☐



## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V626	Vote Yes (For)	COConstitutional AMendment by Gen'l Assembly	
V627	Vote No (Against)		
V628	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Special</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Other</u>	
V629	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90	
V630	Vote No (percent)	YES = 510,947	NO = 491,170

**1**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**GRANTS METROPOLITAN  
SERVICE DISTRICT ELECTORS  
RIGHT TO SELF-GOVERNANCE**

**QUESTION:** Shall state constitution give metropolitan service district voters the right of self-governance, over metropolitan matters, through district charter?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V631	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment	
V632	Vote No (Against)		
V633	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Special</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Other</u>	
V634	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90	
V635	Vote No (percent)	YES = 680,463	NO = 354,288

**2**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT  
ALLOWS MERGED SCHOOL  
DISTRICTS TO COMBINE  
TAX BASES**

**QUESTION:** Shall constitution allow school district created by merger a tax base equal to sum of tax bases of merged districts?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V636	Vote Yes (For)	Referendum by Petition of the People		
V637	Vote No (Against)			
V638	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u>	<u>Special</u> <u>Other</u>
V639	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90		
V640	Vote No (percent)	YES = 406,372	NO = 617,586	

---

**3**      **REFERENDUM ORDER BY  
PETITION OF THE PEOPLE**  
Vote "Yes" or "No."

**REPEALS TAX EXEMPTION,  
GRANTS ADDITIONAL BENEFIT  
PAYMENTS FOR PERS RETIREES**

**QUESTION:** Shall tax exemption for  
PERS pensions be repealed, and  
amount equaling taxes plus 11 percent  
interest returned to PERS retirees?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V641	Vote Yes (For)	Initiative Petition		
V642	Vote No (Against)			
V643	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u>	<u>Special</u> <u>Other</u>
V644	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90		
V645	Vote No (percent)	YES = 446,795	NO = 660,992	

**4**      **Vote "Yes" or "No."**

**PROHIBITS TROJAN OPERATION  
UNTIL NUCLEAR WASTE, COST,  
EARTHQUAKE STANDARDS MET**

**QUESTION:** Shall nuclear power plant  
(Trojan) be allowed to operate only if  
state regulatory agency finds that cer-  
tain conditions are met?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V646	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment
V647	Vote No (Against)	
V648	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Special</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Other</u>
V649	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90
V650	Vote No (percent)	YES = 574,833      NO = 522,022

**5**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**STATE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMIT ON  
PROPERTY TAXES FOR SCHOOLS,  
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS**

**QUESTION:** Shall constitution set limits on property taxes, and dedicate them to fund public schools and non-school government operations?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V651	Vote Yes (For)	Statutory Question
V652	Vote No (Against)	
V653	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Special</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Other</u>
V654	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90
V655	Vote No (percent)	YES = 467,418      NO = 636,804

**6**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**PRODUCT PACKAGING MUST  
MEET RECYCLING STANDARDS  
OR RECEIVE HARDSHIP WAIVER**

**QUESTION:** By 1993, shall packaging used in Oregon meet certain recycling goals, unless a hardship waiver is obtained?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V656	Vote Yes (For)	Statutory Question			
V657	Vote No (Against)				
V658	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>	<u>Other</u>
V659	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90			
V660	Vote No (percent)	YES = 624,744	NO = 452,853		

**7**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**SIX-COUNTY WORK IN LIEU  
OF WELFARE BENEFITS  
PILOT PROGRAM**

**QUESTION:** Shall Oregon law establish  
program of work by public assistance  
recipients for government-funded  
wages in lieu of welfare benefits?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V661	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment			
V662	Vote No (Against)				
V663	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>	<u>Other</u>
V664	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90			
V665	Vote No (percent)	YES = 355,963	NO = 747,599		

**8**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**AMENDS OREGON CONSTITUTION  
TO PROHIBIT ABORTION  
WITH THREE EXCEPTIONS**

**QUESTION:** Shall state constitution  
prohibit abortions except to prevent  
death of pregnant woman and in re-  
ported cases of rape or incest?



## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V666	Vote Yes (For)	Statutory Question		
V667	Vote No (Against)			
V668	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u>	<u>Special</u> <u>Other</u>
V669	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90		
V670	Vote No (percent)	YES = 598,460	NO = 512,872	

**9**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**REQUIRES THE USE  
OF SAFETY BELTS**

**QUESTION:** Shall law, effective December 7, 1990, require safety belt use by motor vehicle drivers and passengers over 16?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V671	Vote Yes (For)	Statutory Question		
V672	Vote No (Against)			
V673	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u>	<u>Special</u> <u>Other</u>
V674	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90		
V675	Vote No (percent)	YES = 530,851	NO = 577,806	

**10**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**DOCTOR MUST GIVE  
PARENT NOTICE BEFORE  
MINOR'S ABORTION**

**QUESTION:** Shall state law require doctor to give notice to parent or custodian at least two days before minor's abortion?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OR

Variable #Description:

V676	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment			
V677	Vote No (Against)				
V678	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>	<u>Other</u>
V679	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90			
V680	Vote No (percent)	YES = 351,977		NO = 741,863	

**11**

Vote "Yes" or "No."

**SCHOOL CHOICE SYSTEM,  
TAX CREDIT FOR EDUCATION  
OUTSIDE PUBLIC SCHOOLS**

**QUESTION:** Should Constitution provide choice of public schools, tax credit for education outside public schools, voter approval of certain education laws?

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State:

Variable #Description:

Vote Yes (For)				
Vote No (Against)				
Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>	<u>Other</u>
Vote Yes (percent)	Date:			
Vote No (percent)	YES =		NO =	